

Health Safety and Dignity for Vulnerable Families

DEPO-PROVERA DEADLY REPRODUCTIVE VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN

"Findings from investigations into the use of Depo Provera are extremely worrisome, raising concerns of harmful health policies with racist implications in violation of medical ethics."

Sharona Eliahu Chai, lawyer for the Association of Civil Rights in Israel (ACRI).

In 2013, together with human rights coalitions, Eliahu Chai successfully fought to change Israeli family-planning policy to protect Africans in Israel. *ACRI is funded by the Moriah Fund, Washington, DC*.



Against a backdrop of the pure innocence of beautiful smiling females, esteemed philanthropist Melinda Gates announces her four billion dollar contraceptive strategy featuring Depo Provera as the optimum choice for women of color. Those beautiful females, oblivious that they are being insidiously exploited as diversionary cynical props to mask Gates' egregious intent, are in an unprecedented Depo Provera campaign with serious racist implications to prevent their very births. The contraceptive campaign with Pfizer, Planned Parenthood, USAID and the UN, engages in a *de facto* discrimination policy failing to counsel especially low income women and women of color about mandatory **FDA Black-Box warnings**.

This report exposes the mendacity of United States' Depo Provera family-planning policy. It reveals how racist and ideological rationalizations systemically perpetuate a *de facto*, bifurcated "*separate but equal*" family-planning policy. A family planning strategy that unethically targets women of color to prohibit births of beautiful children, by not informing mothers of Depo Provera's deadly risks as mandated under U.S. law/regulations; thus, denying women of color their inalienable right to choose and access safe reproductive health.

If the Israeli administration, responding to human rights advocates, ordered a prudent and compassionate moratorium on Depo Provera injections on January 28, 2013, to protect the lives of African-Jewish women in Israel until full informed consent policies are established. Then certainly the United States administration could order a moratorium on all Depo Provera injections to protect African-American women and women of color until enforceable full informed consent procedures and policies are in place for all birth control providers, clinics, hospitals, insurance providers/HMO's and U.S. contractors that distribute and administer Depo Provera internationally.

¹ In 1896, the U.S. Supreme Court ruling in <u>Plessy v. Ferguson</u> established the deceptively sounding "separate but equal" bifurcated legal doctrine that enshrined racial segregation as law. Subsequently, in 1954, the Supreme Court ruling in <u>Brown v. Board of Education</u> outlawed de jure racial segregation as unconstitutional; however, de facto racial discrimination continued to deny people of color their inalienable rights.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	
USAID, Population Council, Ford, Gates, & Rockefeller Foundation, Planned Parenthood,	
Columbia and Johns Hopkins Univ.: Depo Provera's Fraudulent Promotion & False Claims	
African-Americans & clergy are deeply concerned that Depo Provera is an insidious evolution and incarnation of a bygone eugenics era of forced sterilization	
BLACK BOX WARNINGS	
Depo Provera (DMPA) Harm1	
False & Reckless Claims by Planned Parenthood	
Historic fight for women of color's inalienable right to choose safe contraceptives	
FDA Letter to Pfizer to discontinue misleading Depo Provera advertisements	
Reckless U.N. Technical Statement produced on behalf of Gates/USAID	,
Pam Belluck/Isobel Coleman, New York Times	,
Paula Donovan, Huffington Post	-
Betsy Hartman, Jael Silliman, Committee on Women, Population & the Environment	
Amy Goodman, Pacifica Radio4	
Stephen Minkin, Mother Jones	
UNPRECEDENTED PFIZER PROSECUTIONS AND LAWSUITS	
Norplant (Pfizer) removed from US markets, but still implanted in Africans	-
High HIV/AIDS infections among Blacks, Latinos, Southern Africa and Southern Asia.	
High risk communities should not use Depo Provera without counseling (Renee Heffron p.11)4	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:	i
UNETHICAL DEPO PROVERA EXPERIMENTS	i
UNITED STATES / Grady Clinic, Robert HATCHER research fraud (Depo Provera)5	í
GHANA / Navrongo Experiment, DR. James PHILLIPS research fraud (Depo Provera)5	i
TROVAN Pfizer research fraud. US Appeals Court ruled in favor of Nigeria (Pfizer vs Abdullahi).	
Nigeria can therefore seek relief and sue Pfizer in US District Court (Pfizer settles case)	į
Neal KATYAL submits brief urging US Supreme Court to deny Pfizer's petition6	,
OTHER GOVERNMENTS AND DEPO PROVERA6	
Israel6	
India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Sweden, and others6	
AFRICA'S POPULATION COMPARED TO UNITED STATES	
PLANNED PARENTHOOD-Margaret Sanger: Co-opting Rev. Dr. King & Black Leadership	,
LIFE-THREATENING Population Control Targeting African Americans	,
USAID - DR. RAJIV SHAH	,

RAVENHOLT USAID's First Population Program Director
INDIA: A Permanent Target of US Population Control
BILL & MELINDA GATES CONCEAL THEIR OWN RESEARCH THAT EXPOSED DEPO PROVERA'S HIV RISK detailed by <i>Renee Heffron et al</i> in Lancet
PFIZER, Gates, USAID, PATH fraudulently concealing harm from women
HEFFRON et al. Research Warns about HIV risk to protect African women
UN-W.H.O. UNDUE INFLUENCE BY GATES, USAID, and others
USAID-GATES/Johns Hopkins, Family International attack Heffron et al. to cover-up harm12
HEFFRON responds to USAID-GATES attacks in Lancet
CLOSED U.N. MEETING IN GENEVA TO APPROVE DEPO PROVERA
Chelsea Polis / Kathryn Curtis CDC/USAID document verifies Depo Provera's Harm
IS THE GATES FOUNDATION MORE POWERFUL THAN THE FDA?
UNIJECT - Gates Conceals Depo Provera Black Box Warnings and Deceitfully
Transfers the FDA's Authority to Regulate Depo Provera to the United Nations W.H.O
THE UNITED NATIONS W.H.O A RUBBER-STAMP FOR CORPORATIONS
LEGAL PRECEDENT FOR US PROSECUTORS & FBI TO INVESTIGATE AND CHARGE
PFIZER AND INTERMEDIARIES WITH FRAUD
FDA sends letter to Pfizer requesting an immediate halt to misleading advertisements
Controlling Principal Intermediaries vs. an Independent Doctor making off-label claims
Gates' disdain for rules of law and habitual practice of business fraud
Need for Congressional Oversight, DOJ/FBI & HHS investigations
DOJ SHOULD PROSECUTE PFIZER FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS
PFIZER AND INTERMEDIARIES OWN SPECIAL KNOWLEDGE OF HARM
RECOMMENDATIONS TO EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND U.S. CONGRESS
IMPACT OF REBECCA PROJECT'S ADVOCACY EFFORTS
"Outsourcing of Tuskegee" Report Launched at CONYERS Congressional Black Caucus Brain Trust 16
Peter DONALDSON-Population Council President meets Keenan KELLER-Senior Counsel
House Judiciary Committee, and Donaldson Praises Veracity of Rebecca Project's report
Linda Fried (Columbia Univ), Judith Rodin (Rockefeller) deny knowledge of Dr. PHILLIPS' fraud 17
WHO DIRECTS UNITED STATES POPULATION CONTROL POLICY?17
CONCLUSION
APPENDIX - Anti-Depo Provera Coalition
Rebecca Project receives detailed information about Dr James PHILLIPS' fraud (fabricating data and
not providing informed consent forms in Navrongo Experiment)
REBECCA PROJECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS CONTACTS GATES FOUNDATION
GATES GENERAL COUNSEL, CONNIE COLLINGSWORTH CONTACTS REBECCA PROJECT 20
GATES' Pervasive Endemic Fraud as witnessed by Willie GARY, David BOIES and Joel KLEIN 20

SUMMARY

This report discusses the harm of Depo Provera² (Depot Medroxyprogesterone Acetate - DMPA) a dangerous injectable progesterone-contraceptive manufactured by Pfizer. According to the Wall Street Journal's Market Watch, Pfizer could potentially earn approximately \$36 billion in sales resulting from an unprecedented Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) investment³ -\$560 million from BMGF, totaling \$4.3 billion with government contributions⁴- that promotes Depo Provera as the optimum contraceptive for women of color and low-income women. This report tells the story of an egregious and smoldering human tragedy driven by profit at any cost, and a "population control" ideological agenda fueled by the untrammeled power of Pfizer, BMGF, the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), the Population Council, the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, Planned Parenthood, Columbia, and Johns Hopkins Universities, a cadre of extreme reproductive health advocates, OB/GYNs, and NGOs that are either USAID contractors or beneficiaries of the Depo Provera policy/profit system. A profit system that fuels federal claims, health insurance claims, institutional research grants and consulting fees. Several African-American clergy have reviewed details of the systematic cover-up of serious risk and life threatening harm by aforementioned institutions, and are deeply concerned that Depo Provera is an insidious eugenics evolution and incarnation of a bygone era of forced sterilization. ^{5,6}

The governmental imprimatur is supplied by the pro-Depo Provera policies of USAID. These policies provide the cover for private foundation money, and our government's family planning policy is influenced and advocated by the Population Council, Planned Parenthood and population control advocates, ostensibly advocating for a woman's right to choose. To administer Depo Provera injections, especially if one is receiving federal funding, Medicaid and USAID payments, without enforcing full information requirements about side-effects and harm, robs millions of women of their dignity and fundamental civil rights, denying them of their inalienable right to be free to reject a dangerous drug and choose safer contraceptives. BMGF, Planned Parenthood, Pfizer and Depo Provera advocates constructively arrogate to themselves a separate standard of regulatory oversight. They are legally required to disclose side effects of Depo Provera and other drugs with **Black Box** warnings to patients/consumers. They illegally promote and administer Depo Provera by concealing its danger, minimizing fatal harm to women and making false claims with impunity.

BLACK-BOX WARNINGS

Food and Drug Administration (FDA) **Black-Box** warnings are the most stringent mandated warnings notifying patients on the significant risk of serious and life-threatening side effects or adverse effects that a drug may have. Therefore, all health professionals are required by FDA to inform patients of the likelihood of harm. **Black Box** warnings obligate all health providers including pharmacists dispensing a drug with **Boxed warnings** to counsel patients/consumers about serious side effects. However, as a regulated injectable contraceptive with a **Black Box** warning, Depo Provera is never dispensed by a pharmacist directly to a patient to self-inject in the US. According to the FDA labeling, Depo Provera should be administered by health professionals by injection after patients are counseled on the serious side effects. Therefore, the legal obligation to counsel women about serious side effects rests with birth control corporations, providers and agents directly promoting, distributing and administering Depo Provera injections to women. Consequently, by fraudulently minimizing or concealing harm to misinform women about Depo Provera's **Black Box** warnings those corporations, providers and agents are violating federal law 21 Code of Federal Regulations paragraph 201.57(e).

In 2004, the FDA identifying that Depo Provera causes serious side-effects⁸ issued a **Black Box** Warning⁹ stating: (1) women may lose significant bone mineral density that is not fully reversible and, therefore, (2) Depo Provera should not be used as a long-term birth control method for more than two years. Other serious side effects, with mandated *Patient Counseling and Information* (see pages 16 & 17)¹⁰, are: (3) blood clots in arms, legs, lungs, and eyes, (4) stroke, (5) bleeding irregularities, (6) weight gain, (7) ectopic pregnancy, and (8) delayed return to fertility and lack of return to fertility. (9) In addition, scientific research in 2012 reported that women using Depo Provera have double the risk of developing breast cancer¹¹. (10) Depo Provera also has an unintended consequence of significantly increasing a woman's susceptibility to HIV/AIDS and all other sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) due to the fact that the high dose of

² Pfizer, FDA Box-Warnings for Depo Provera, Letter to US Healthcare Professionals (November 18, 2004).

³ Paul B. Farrell, *Gates' \$4 billion foray in global family planning*, MarketWatch -Wall Street Journal (2012).

⁴ Chris Wickham, *Melinda Gates pledges \$560 million for contraception*, Reuters (2011)

⁵ Minister Dr. Randy Short, President and National Spokesman: Anti-Depo Provera Clergy Coalition. See full list of coalition in Appendix.

⁶ Elizabeth Wong (ACLU, Assoc. Director). A Shameful History: Eugenics in Virginia. American Civil Liberties Union (Jan 2013)

⁷ US Food and Drug Administration **Black Box** Warnings: 21 Code of Federal Regulations paragraph 201.57(e)

⁸ Pfizer, *Depo Provera Warning and Precautions* (2012).

⁹ Pfizer, FDA Box-Warnings for Depo Provera, Letter to US Healthcare Professionals (November 18, 2004).

¹⁰ Pfizer, *Depo Provera Warning and Precautions* (2012).

¹¹ Christopher I. Li, Elisabeth F. Beaber, Mei Tzu Chen Tang, et al., Effect of Depo-Medroxyprogesterone Acetate on Breast Cancer Risk among Women 20 to 44 Years of Age, American Association for Cancer Research (2012).

progesterone in Depo Provera induces thinning of the vaginal epithelium¹². (11) Additionally, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation/NIH-funded researchers indicated that women infected with HIV/AIDS who use progesterone injections put HIV-negative partners at a greater risk¹³. The researchers, Heffron et al, stated, "women should be counseled about potentially increased risk of HIV-1 acquisition and transmission with hormonal contraception, especially injectable methods, and about the importance of dual protection with condoms to decrease HIV-1 risk. Non-hormonal or low-dose hormonal contraceptive methods should be considered for women with or at-risk for HIV-1."

Another unintended consequence of many women in high HIV communities using progesterone injections (Depo Provera) is that the low pregnancy assurance gives many women a dangerous false sense of security; therefore, they are far less likely to insist on condom use by sexual partners. Planned Parenthood, one of the largest distributers and providers of Depo Provera in the US and internationally, reinforces this dangerous false sense of security, by recklessly promoting Depo Provera to a target audience of women of color and asking this question on their website: "What are the Benefits of the Birth Control Shot?" and answering: "There is nothing to do right before having sex"14. When in fact using Depo Provera especially warrants condom use before having sex to avoid increased risks of HIV, as verified by esteemed BMGF and NIH funded researcher, Renee Heffron. Furthermore, Planned Parenthood violates medical ethics and FDA regulations by fraudulently promoting an off-label claim: "The shot [Depo Provera] can help prevent cancer of the lining of the uterus (page 2)¹⁵". However, a search of Depo Provera's FDA approval¹⁶ does not list the contraceptive as a cancer prevention drug. Moreover, while making those false claims about Depo Provera, Planned Parenthood further violates FDA regulations by not posting and informing women of mandatory FDA Depo Provera warnings¹⁷ this together with other egregious violations of federal law, demonstrates Planned Parenthood's intent to misinform and conceal serious harm from women. This systematic fraudulent promotion and illegal rebranding of Depo Provera supported by BMGF, Pfizer, Population Council and USAID, while receiving Medicaid payments and other disbursements from the US government in forms of grants and payments for family planning, is illegal under the False Claims Act (FCA), 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 - 3733 (details discussed on page 14 -15).

This historic fight for reproductive rights, specifically for women of color and low-income women to access safe contraceptives and safe reproductive healthcare with mandatory full informed consent, has consistently encountered ulterior motives that do not necessarily manifest as overt racism, classism or intentional discrimination. However, denying women of color and low-income women their inalienable right to be informed of all serious side effects and results of evidence-based scientific research is indeed the epitome of racism and classism. Racism infects most people, because we share a common historical and cultural heritage in which racism has played and still plays a dominant role 18. While our subtleties and textures of racism have changed, and even though American society has rejected overt racism and discriminatory practices as immoral, our common historical experience makes it a part of our culture, 19 and when a person's racist ideas conflict with a society that condemns those ideas, the person's mind excludes racism from their consciousness, forcing their racist endeavors into the unconscious mind 20.

The story of Rebecca Project for Human Right's struggle to unmask Depo Provera as a deadly contraceptive for women is important, because it demonstrates the deep rooted cultural hegemony of population control and corporate profits put before humanity at any cost. A careful review of the narrative exposes a disdain for transparency, and intolerance for constitutional equal protection principles when it involves family planning policy. This elite institutional clique operates constructively as a *de facto cartel* of unethical reproductive health research funders, reproductive health advocates and abortion rights advocates manipulating policies to support unethical and extreme regimes of population control. This report unequivocally documents their fraud and motivation to squelch and discredit the Rebecca Project's report *The Outsourcing of Tuskegee: Nonconsensual Research in Africa*²¹, which exposed the seminal *Navrongo Experiment*²², a fraudulent unethical Depo Provera experiment on 9,000 unsuspecting impoverished women in the

¹² Preston, et al., *Progesterone Implants Enhance SIV Vaginal Transmission and Early Virus Load*, Nature Medicine 2, 1084 - 1089 (1996).

¹³ Heffron et al, *Use of Hormonal Contraceptives and Risk of HIV-1transmission: A Prospective Cohort Study*, Lancet Infect Dis (2012).

¹⁴ Planned Parenthood Website, *Depo Provera Birth Control Shot on Page* 2, (Retrieved 6/19/2012).

¹⁵ Planned Parenthood Website, *Depo Provera Birth Control Shot on Page* 2, (Retrieved 6/19/2012).

¹⁶ FDA Approved Drug Products: Depo Provera's FDA approval @ http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm

¹⁷ Pfizer, FDA Box-Warnings for Depo Provera, Letter to US Healthcare Professionals (November 18, 2004).

¹⁸ Law Professor Charles R. Lawrence III, *The Id the Ego, and Equal Protection: Reckoning with Unconscious Racism,* 39 STAN. L. REV. 317, 321-22 (1987). ¹⁹ *Id.* at 322.

²⁰ *Id.* at 323.

²¹ Rebecca Project, The Outsourcing of Tuskegee: Nonconsensual Research in Africa (2011)

²² Debpuur C, Phillips JF, Jackson EF, Nazzar A, Ngom P, Binka FN. The impact of the Navrongo Project on contraceptive knowledge and use, reproductive preferences, and fertility. Studies in Family Planning 2002

Navrongo region in Ghana (Sub-Saharan Africa), designed and conducted by Dr. James Phillips of the Population Council and Columbia University's Mailman School of Public Health.

This report makes the case that US prosecutors have legal precedent to charge Pfizer, along with its *constructive* participants and controlling principal intermediaries (see page 14), with fraud for making false claims, engaging in false marketing and fraudulent promotion, concealing harm, minimizing harm, as well as promoting and concealing harm of Depo Provera to children/adolescents despite FDA **Black-Box** warnings. In 1998, the FDA sent this letter to Pfizer the maker of Depo Provera, requesting a halt to advertisements that misled women about risks. However, this eugenic practice continues via Planned Parenthood target marketing to Blacks (video) and Latinas (video). As prosecutors, no matter one's views on reproductive health, one has to embrace the integrity and urgency of this report, to act to protect the sacred lives and the dignity of defenseless women of color and low-income women exploited and harmed by Pfizer, Planned Parenthood, BMGF, the Population Council and advocates in the Depo Provera policy/profit system. If Depo Provera is genuinely a safe and effective contraceptive (as Norplant, another Pfizer contraceptive, was touted to be), with only minimal side effects that do not warrant FDA **Black-Box** warnings that mandate informing consumers about serious or life-threatening risks, then why systematically conceal side-effects and debilitating harm from women? Allow women to make an informed choice and have access to the same safe contraceptives that are available to privileged women.

After reading this report, it is our hope that conscientious US Congress Members would recognize the overwhelmingly deadly effects of Depo Provera on women of color and low-income women. In spite of that harm, extreme population control advocates, funders, and some policymakers in the Depo Provera policy/profit system, will seek cover by pointing to a contrived eight-page "Depo Provera Technical Statement²³" published by United Nations, which on the surface seems reasonable and acceptable. However, the *Technical Statement* is fundamentally unethical, unreasonable, reckless, and should be rejected, because it recommends the use of Depo Provera on women at high risk of HIV without restriction and without provisions to enforce mandatory informed consent guidelines while: 1) knowing that informed consent procedures are methodically ignored by the United Nations' own field workers and subcontractors in Africa; and the *UN's family planning guide* published in three languages does not state strict condom use, and claims DMPA is "safe" without reference to Black-Box warnings and serious side effects²⁴; 2) knowing that women who are targeted with Depo Provera are low-income women and women of color in high HIV infection regions in the U.S. and developing countries, who do not have access to adequate healthcare to combat diseases resulting from Depo Provera's deadly side effects; 3) knowing that Planned Parenthood and other providers of Depo Provera refuse to provide full information about Depo Provera's harm in the US and Africa, thus denying vulnerable women access to safe contraceptives, 4) knowing and having the cultural competency to understand that women in certain high risk HIV communities in Africa and in the U.S. will avoid using condoms to maintain their relationships with men who may be their sole financial providers, and 5) if the UN-WHO is genuinely interested in the welfare and health of women using Depo Provera, then the FDA's enforceable **Black Box** warnings should be included in the Technical Statement alongside the UN's unenforceable recommendations.

Furthermore, similar recommendations from U.S. medical groups or associations, to administer Depo Provera to women without restrictions and to disregard or circumvent FDA statutes and mandatory warnings and guidelines, do not supersede Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and FDA ethical and enforceable statutes and regulations, which require a full warning to consumers of serious or life-threatening side effects. Those unethical recommendations from the United Nations, U.S. medical groups and medical associations do not supersede the 14th Amendment "Equal Protection Clause" in the U.S. Constitution; and those unethical recommendations do not supersede U.S. laws and the will of Congress.

In a 2011 New York Times report, reporter Pam Belluck, who gave a thoughtful analysis on the danger of progesterone injections in countries ravaged by HIV²⁵, quoted Isobel Coleman, Director of the Women and Foreign Policy Program at the Council on Foreign Relations, as saying, "The best contraception today is injectable hormonal contraception because you don't need a doctor, it's long-lasting, it enables women to control timing and spacing of birth without a lot of fuss and travel". However, that unintentional and misleading statement by Ms. Coleman about injectable hormonal contraception's efficacy without the need for a doctor is patently false. Unfortunately, Coleman's statement is emblematic of the specious convictions of US family planning policymakers, because the usage of injectable

²⁵ Pam Belluck, Contraceptive Used in Africa May Double Risk of H.I.V., New York Times (2011).

_

²³ WHO, Department of Reproductive Health and Research, *Hormonal contraception and HIV Technical statement* (February 16, 2012).

World Health Organization. A guide to family planning for community health workers and their clients

contraceptives is precisely the moment every woman unquestionably requires counseling from doctors and qualified healthcare providers to explain potentially lethal side-effects.

Then, in 2012, Paula Donovan, another respected reproductive health advocate, eloquently remarked. "Sexual and reproductive rights are not advanced when the facts women need are withheld or misrepresented. Voluntary contraception is only voluntary when women understand the choices they have, and the risks as well as the benefits. Women's rights to informed consent are sacrosanct²⁶." Over the past two years, the Rebecca Project has investigated, documented and interviewed scores of women and girls, deducing that birth control providers serving low-income women and girls such as Planned-Parenthood characteristically decline to inform patients about the FDA's warnings concerning Depo Provera. However, health providers are mandated ethically to inform women of harm and to post FDA Depo Provera Black-Box warnings on websites²⁷--instead of misleading and misinforming families about the drug's lethal side effects.

According to Dr. Betsy Hartmann²⁸ and Dr. Jael Silliman, other women's rights advocates, and birth control experts associated with the Committee on Women, Population, & the Environment (CWPE) who published, "Dangerous Contraceptives: Norplant and Depo Provera²⁹", many women of color in the United States are disproportionately and constructively coerced into taking Depo Provera³⁰ to prevent future births. Renowned talk-show activist, educator and journalist, Amy Goodman of Pacifica Radio's Democracy Now wrote about the danger of Depo Provera as far back as 1985³¹. In 1979, powerful interests blacklisted public health expert Stephen Minkin after he wrote about the danger of Depo Provera in *Mother Jones*³². Therefore, the "chilling effect" due to fear of corporate or professional reprisals has successfully silenced journalists, politicians, medical professionals, and women's rights advocates.

UNPRECEDENTED NUMBER OF PFIZER PROSECUTIONS AND DEPO PROVERA LAWSUITS

Over the last two decades, there have been an unprecedented number³³ of government prosecutions, drug recalls and Depo Provera class actions suits³⁴ where Pfizer has either lost cases or settled. Amidst a flurry of lawsuits, Norplant, another dangerous Pfizer contraceptive, was pulled from the market by Pfizer in 2002³⁵, while elected officials and prosecutors remained silent about harm. In October 2011, Pfizer settled the Norplant contraceptive lawsuits, for its subsidiary Wyeth, for approximately \$30 million³⁶. That Pfizer payment was in addition to approximately \$48 million already paid by Wyeth³⁷ by 1999 and \$40 million in legal fees for a total of more than \$120 million in settlement costs³⁸. Thus far, even though African women in the Democratic Republic of Congo (formerly Zaire), Egypt, Ghana, Kenya, Madagascar, Nigeria, Rwanda, Senegal, and Zambia, were used at human subjects without informed consent of harm in Norplant human experiments, the Rebecca Project for Justice has not been able to locate records of settlements to any African women in those countries—they deserve the same redress and compensation as harmed American women. Depo Provera has the same progestin chemical component as Norplant; the only difference being that Norplant is implanted under the skin, while Depo Provera is injected.

Moreover, UN data demonstrates that Depo Provera³⁹ is seldom administered to White or affluent women and girls in the U.S. or Europe. There is a strong correlation between Depo Provera use, HIV infection, and minority status. Minority communities in the United States have high HIV/AIDS infection and transmission rates. In 2009, according to the CDC, African Americans comprised 14% of the U.S. population, while accounting for 44% of all new HIV/AIDS infections. African American women have the highest usage percentage of Depo Provera in the United States, followed by Latinas. Latinos accounted for 20% of new HIV infections, while representing 16% of the total US population. Furthermore, in Africa some 280,000 South Africans died of HIV/AIDS in 2010. In the same year, approximately 42% to 47% of all deaths among South Africans⁴⁰ were HIV/AIDS related. Yet regrettably, South Africa and its neighboring

²⁶ Paula Donovan, Planned Parenthood Whitewashing Life-and-Death Info On HIV Protection, Huffingtonpost.com (March 2012).

²⁷ Planned Parenthood Website, *Depo Provera Birth Control Shot on Page 2*, (Retrieved 6/19/2012).

²⁸ Betsy Hartmann, Aline Gubrium, *Letter to the Editor: A Contraceptive's Risks*, New York Times (2011)

²⁹ Committee on Women, Population, & the Environment, *Dangerous Contraceptives: Norplant and Depo-Provera*, ³⁰ id

³¹ Goodman, Amy, The Case Against Depo-Provera, Multinational Monitor FEBRUARY/MARCH, 1985, VOL 6, NUM 2 & amp;3

³² Minkin, Ehrenreich Dowie, *The Charge: Gynocide, the Accused: The U.S. Government*, Mother Jones (November/December 1979).

³³ Martha Rosenberg, Pfizer: The Drug Giant That Makes Bank from Drugs That Can Kill You, AlterNet (2010)

³⁴ BigClassAction.com: http://www.bigclassaction.com/lawsuit/depo_provera_contraceptive_osteoporosis_class_action.php

³⁵ Frost & Reich, Norplant: Access To Contraceptives, ACCESS Chp.6

³⁶ Pickett, Joseph, *Pfizer Settles Norplant Lawsuits for \$29.5 Million*, Expertbriefings.com (Oct 2011).

³⁷ Associated Press, *American Home Products Corp Settles Lawsuits*, Los Angeles Times (August 26, 1999). Wyeth was owned by American Home Products Corporation (AHP). Wyeth was purchased by Pfizer in October 15, 2009.

³⁸ Frost & Reich, Norplant: Access To Contraceptives, ACCESS Chp.6

³⁹ UN Population Publications, World Contraceptive Use, (2010)

⁴⁰ South Africa StatisticsP0302, *Mid-year population estimates* (2010)

countries continue to have the highest use of Depo Provera and HIV/AIDS, thereby further increasing the risk of HIV/AIDS. The monitoring of Pfizer's dangerous contraceptives should not be left to private lawyers, human rights advocates or the ACLU⁴¹. US Attorneys and state Attorney Generals should be as aggressive in their prosecution of Pfizer when it also involves dangerous contraceptives as they are with other drugs.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

In the past four years, under the supervision of Deputy Attorney General Tony West, the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) has successfully prosecuted several drug companies including Pfizer⁴² and GlaxoSmithKline and they have paid fines in excess of six billion dollars for false claims, bribes and other fraud. Even established USAID contractors such as Academy for Educational Development - AED were successfully prosecuted and forced out of business for fraud. These successful prosecutions have been primarily directed by preeminent DOJ prosecutors such as Michael Loucks⁴³, Sanjay Bhambhani, Sara Bloom, Zachary Cunha, Charlene Keller Fullmer, Robin Gwinn, Patricia Hanower, Colin Huntley, Marilyn May, Cheryl Morgan, and Susan Poswistilo. Pfizer and other drug companies were prosecuted for deliberately misinforming consumers of harm, making false claims, bribing government officials, concealing harm, minimizing harm, collusion and/or fraudulently promoting drugs not approved for children. These are the same crimes involving the predatory and pernicious promotion of Pfizer's Depo Provera to women of color in the United States and elsewhere in the world. However, this is not only a civil crime. Depo Provera's fraudulent promotion involves extensive criminal wrongdoing; hence, an appeal that Mythili Raman (Head of Criminal Div.) and Tom Perez (Head of Civil Rights Div.) intervene in this unprecedented prosecution of Pfizer to protect the rights of women. The DOJ must continue to act equitably in the interest of justice for women of color when the crime involves dangerous contraceptives—this should not be a political decision.

USAID demonstrates a policy of aggressive apathy and near-consensual silence after several Rebecca Project requests to Nicole Schiegg (senior advisor to USAID Administrator Rajiv Shah) and several other officials in the Obama Administration have been ignored. Unlike other Pfizer drug prosecutions, what distinguishes Depo Provera fraud is that the US government via USAID, is a constructive participant in Pfizer's elaborate marketing scheme to fraudulently promote Depo Provera in the United States and globally. Pfizer commits this fraud with *controlling principal intermediaries*, including USAID (page 14). Pfizer's alliance with these powerful government entities only makes this case more important to investigate for criminal and civil prosecutions to protect the civil rights of citizens and to ensure that taxpayer dollars are not spent on dangerous contraceptives that sustain a flawed family planning policy with serious racist implications.

UNETHICAL DEPO PROVERA EXPERIMENTS

UNITED STATES:

1967-1978: The largest test on humans of Depo-Provera begins and is conducted for 11 years through the Grady Clinic in Atlanta, Georgia on 14,000 low income women. These "trials" were conducted on women human subjects without being aware of the fact that they were part of an experiment; and, the researchers deliberately did not inform the women participants that Depo Provera had grave side effects. Many women developed cancer and/or died during the trials, but these cases were not reported to the FDA. The director of the study, Robert Hatcher, further violated the law refusing to submit an annual report during the entire study. Women with medical conditions, such as cancer, were still given the shot. Record keeping on the clients was sloppy and more than 13,000 women had no follow-up⁴⁴ (Committee on Women, Population, & the Environment).

GHANA:

The African continent has been a theater for unregulated, unethical human experimentation and turpitudinous malpractice⁴⁵, without *Informed Consent Forms* normally provided to American women. In a seminal family planning experiment, discussed in the Rebecca Project Justice's 2011 report: *The Outsourcing of Tuskegee: Nonconsensual Research in Africa*⁴⁶, researchers experimented with Depo Provera on approximately 9,000 impoverished women in the town of Navrongo and surrounding villages in the Kasena-Nankana districts of Ghana. The *Navrongo Experiment*⁴⁷ was funded by: *USAID, Population Council, Bill & Melinda Gates, Rockefeller and Andrew W. Mellon Foundations* (1994-

⁴¹ ACLU, "Norplant: A New Contraceptive with the Potential for Abuse", (January 31, 1994)

⁴² Martha Rosenberg, Pfizer: *The Drug Giant That Makes Bank from Drugs That Can Kill You*, AlterNet (2010)

⁴³ Duff Wilson, *Drug Makers' Feared Enemy*, New York Times (June 4, 2011). Mr. Loucks left the United States attorney's office in Boston after he was passed over for the top post.

⁴⁴Reprinted entirely from CWPE factsheet *Committee on Women, Population, & the Environment*

⁴⁵ Rebecca Project, Unethical Medical Research In Africa Funded Or Assisted By The Us Government Or Other Us-Based Institutions: The Outsourcing Of Tuskegee Part II (2012)

Rebecca Project, The Outsourcing of Tuskegee: Nonconsensual Research in Africa (2011)

⁴⁷ Debpuur C, Phillips JF, Jackson EF, Nazzar A, Ngom P, Binka FN. The impact of the Navrongo Project on contraceptive knowledge and use, reproductive preferences, and fertility. Studies in Family Planning 2002

2006). Dr. James F. Phillips and Population Council researchers violated US research laws⁴⁸ by failing to administer informed consent forms to the women they recruited as human subjects and injected with Depo Provera. The women in Navrongo and adjoining villages were told that they were being provided with routine healthcare, while data was specifically collected and analyzed for the research experiment and published by Dr. James Phillips. Furthermore, the Navrongo Experiment was designed by Dr. James Phillips who deliberately fabricated and falsified research data for desired outcomes. Phillips co-published with compensated doctors who are knowledgeable and/or complicit in this fraud⁴⁹.

Presently, Dr. James Phillips serves on the faculty of the Mailman School of Public Health at Columbia University and his decades of research fraud spans from Africa to Southern Asia. The problem with Columbia University and USAID supporting Phillips' extensive research fraud is that, as with the Tuskegee Experiment⁵⁰ (conducted by Dr. John Cutler with the United States Public Health Service- PHS), and the Guatemala Experiment⁵¹ (also Dr. John Cutler with PHS), Dr. Phillips repeatedly violated human subject research laws 21 CFR 50.20 and 45 CFR 46, and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78dd-1). Dr. James Phillips did not receive institutional review board (IRB) approval for repeat surveys of adults followed for as long as 6 years. Dr. James Phillips did not provide informed consent forms to impoverished women who were repeatedly surveyed on sensitive reproductive health issues while injecting thousands with Depo Provera as "routine health care". Dr. Phillips did not inform human subjects that his so-called "routine health care" and "social observations" were in fact Population Council research, and their health data from observations were part of the research record published in several Navrongo Experiment reports. U.S. drug companies and U.S. researchers have effectively outsourced the Tuskegee Experiment and routinely conduct nonconsensual and/or unethical research in Africa and the developing world. *Pfizer v. Abdullahi* directly confronted the issue. In a July 2009 ruling, a U.S. Court of Appeals found that the prohibition of non-consensual medical experimentation on humans is binding under customary international law⁵², thereby allowing Trovan victims in Nigeria the right to seek relief against Pfizer in U.S. courts⁵³. In June 2010, the U.S. Supreme Court rejected Pfizer's appeal after the U.S. Acting Solicitor General, Neal Katyal, submitted his brief urging the Supreme Court to deny Pfizer's petition (read this Weil Gotshal briefing for further information).

OTHER GOVERNMENTS AND DEPO PROVERA

On January 28, 2013, Israel ordered a ban on new Depo Provera prescriptions after admitting that they coerced African women to use Depo Provera without consent and full understanding of harm. The Netanyahu administration moved to act ethically and banned its doctors from renewing all Depo Provera prescriptions to protect women, especially African Jews in Israel who were targeted. The Rebecca Project commends Israel for its decision to terminate the use of Depo Provera on Africans without informed consent, a moral decision compelled by condemnation⁵⁴ and pressure from civil society, media, human rights groups, and women's organizations⁵⁵. According to Talila Nesher of Haaretz, Israel also appointed a committee to probe Depo Provera further after the moratorium was ordered. The contraceptive shots contributed to approximately a 50 percent drop in birthrate among Ethiopian women in Israel within a decade⁵⁶.

Recognizing that danger, European countries have provided full information about risks for women to consent to use Depo Provera for decades and use of Depo Provera is negligible. India, a permanent target of US population control policy (see page 10), went a step further and banned Depo Provera from its social welfare programs. Gates, UNFPA and USAID have taken advantage of political disorganization in Pakistan to administer Depo Provera to Muslim women; and are collaborating to expand a "self-inject" Depo Provera system in Pakistan⁵⁷. Currently, all U.S. allies in the Middle-East: Bahrain, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Qatar, and Saudi Arabia restrict the use of Depo Provera on their nationals. Unlike

⁴⁸ PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS: 45 CFR 46. Code of Federal Regulations, TITLE 45, PUBLIC WELFARE, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, PART 46

⁴⁹ According to confidential source.

⁵⁰ Donald H. Rockwell, MD; Anne Roof Yobs, MD; and M. Brittain Moore, Jr., MD, The Tuskegee Study of Untreated Syphilis: 30th Year of Observation (1963). PHS -- the United States Public Health Service as a division of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS). Dr. Howard K. Koh, Assistant Secretary for Health heads PHS.

^{51 &}quot;Ethically Impossible" STD Research in Guatemala from 1946 to 1948: http://bioethics.gov/cms/sites/default/files/Ethically-Impossible_PCSBLpdf

⁵² Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects. WORLD MEDICAL ASSOCIATION DECLARATION OF HELSINKI

⁵³ Pfizer v Abdullahi, 562 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2009): http://www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/09/09-34_pet.pdf .In July 2009, a U.S. Court of Appeals ruling against Pfizer found that the prohibition of non-consensual medical experimentation on humans is binding under customary international law, thereby allowing Nigerians the right to seek relief for damages against Pfizer in U.S. courts.

⁵⁴ Alistair Dawber, Israel gave birth control to Ethiopian Jews without their consent (2013).

⁵⁵ Association for Civil Rights in Israel, HMOs Instructed Not To Renew Depo-Provera Prescriptions For Ethiopian Women (2013).

⁵⁶ Talila Nesher, Israeli minister appointing team to probe Ethiopian birth control shot controversy, Haaretz (Feb.28, 2013)

⁵⁷ Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and PATH. *Home-Based Administration of Depo-Subq in The Uniject Injection System*. This is the new self-inject Depo Provera system that obviates required patient counseling to circumvent FDA **Black Box** warnings -- Kenya, Malawi, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal are initial targets.

USAID, the Swedish International Development Authority (SIDA)⁵⁸ did not provide Depo Provera or funding to purchase of Depo Provera for Swedish assisted projects in developing countries. As far back as 1982, Sweden recognized that, in the absence of good hospitals and medical experts in developing countries, it is essential to give women sufficient information to make an informed choice and to provide continuous follow-up for those who decide to use Depo-Provera⁵⁹. Sweden now provides some support for the use of Depo Provera with strict, full informed consent provisions.

Regrettably, Israel's previous draconian policy to radically reduce the number of Black births using Depo Provera, while willfully disregarding Depo Provera's dangerous health impacts, is the foundation of ongoing U.S. domestic and global family-planning strategy for women of color⁶⁰. Details in this report, will demonstrate that US population control specialists characteristically manufacture consent by making unfounded claims that Depo Provera is needed to curb exploding populations of Africans and those in the developing world to support half-century outdated and unproven theories of a "Population Bomb.⁶¹" NGOs such as Partnership League for Africa's Development and Dr. Robert Walley the head of MaterCare International, are questioning the excessive funding for population control, over pre-natal and maternal care. Dr. Walley said: "The objective is not to reduce maternal mortality but to eliminate motherhood⁶²".

FACTS ABOUT AFRICA'S POPULATION COMPARED TO THE UNITED STATES⁶³

The African continent is far from overcrowded, and it is only in major cities inflated by urban migration that one could gain such a skewed perception. Most of Africa is rural with areas sparsely populated that lack of infrastructure, public services, and meaningful job opportunities in the agrarian sector. That issue, now conflated with the predatory land-grab by developed countries exacerbates the urban influx of formerly subsistence farmers to overcrowded African cities in search of work. Private foundations have been heavily focused on funding NGO programs, emergency programs, and health aid for vaccines, contraceptives, etc. However, they should invest in sustainable comprehensive human resources development and rural infrastructure development to reduce Africa's dependence on foreign aid. Debt is not exclusive to Africa (World's Most Exploited Continent), Europe and the United States are submerged in trillions of dollars of debt, but constantly bailout each other's economies to buttress and rebuild their countries.

- Africa has a <u>normal</u> rate of population growth. In 2011, Africa's 55 countries had a total population of 1,032,532,974, which is 3.3 times that of the United States with a population of 315,510,000 people.
- Africa's 55 countries have a landmass of 11.7 million sq. miles-- that is 3.3 times that of the United States with a landmass of 3.5 million sq. mi. Most African countries have low or average population densities and can sustain higher populations.
- In Africa there is an ongoing "Land Grab"⁶⁴ of considerable areas of land by western and industrialized nations to feed and fuel⁶⁵ the rest of the developed world. However, as academics, economists, and government officials preposterously postulate that Africa is overpopulated and cannot support itself, Africa's arable lands are pillaged for pennies an acre displacing its people.
- So why are USAID, Gates, Pfizer, Population Council, Rockefeller, Planned Parenthood concealing harm to coercively use Depo Provera to reduce Africa's normal population growth, when Africans live in healthy environments that are rich in minerals and abundant in arable land, with the lowest carbon footprint on the globe?

Without contradiction, one can state that four billion dollars spent on additional contraceptives is excessive and not essential to sustainable effective humane reproductive health programs in the developing world. A more rational and sustainable approach to the needs of Africa would be to utilize merely 20 percent of the \$4 billion (\$800 million) to fund comprehensive sustainable human resources development programs that include: 1) scholarship programs that train African doctors to specialize in gynaecology, internal medicine, obstetrics, oncology, pediatrics in U.S. Universities, 2) scholarship programs for African students to study medicine and nursing in American Universities with guaranteed salaries paid as Foundation fellowships for a period of up to 10 years as a precondition to return to their home country and work in their communities; 3) building and renovating African medical schools and teaching hospitals for maternal and childcare care, and 4) instituting informed consent health procedures, which have protected and benefited American women, in all aspects of medicine and human subject research as a pre-condition to comprehensively support health programs and hospitals. The collective goodwill of Buffet, Casey, Ford, Gates, Kaiser, Kellogg, MacArthur, Robert Wood Johnson, Rockefeller, and several other foundations can formulate a comprehensive health scheme co-created by a new innovative team of African intelligentsia who are not already entrenched tunnel vision participants in US funded programs. Africans are approximately 14.95% of the world's population (Whites approx. 18% to 19.16%). Nothing legitimate can justify what appears to be genocide to prevent births of African children by the intentional and aggressive use of deadly contraceptives while concealing Black Box warnings and other life-threatening side-effects (*Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, Article 6 (d)*).

7

⁵⁸ Sweden and Depo: Retrieved from http://www.popline.org/node/387778. POPLINE.org is supported by the American People through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID).

⁵⁹ Id.

⁶⁰ Speidel, Sinding, Gillespie, Maguire and Neuse, *Making the Case for US International Family Planning Assistance* (2009).

⁶¹ Paul R. Ehrlich, The Population Bomb (1968)

⁶² Hillary Senour. Global Contraception Push Aims to Eliminate Motherhood. Catholic News Agency (Jun 7, 2013)

⁶³ United Nation Statistics Division (2010).

⁶⁴ GRAIN, The G8 and land grabs in Africa (March 2013)

⁶⁵ GRAIN.org, Land grabbing for biofuels must stop Published 6/25/2013 : Updated 7/12/2014

PLANNED PARENTHOOD-MARGARET SANGER: CO-OPTING BLACK CLERGY AND LEADERSHIP

Margaret Sanger is America's infamous eugenics⁶⁶ and population control advocate. In 1916, Sanger opened the first birth control clinic in the United States. In 1921, Sanger founded the American Birth Control League that was renamed Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA) in 1942, and later founded the International Planned Parenthood Federation in 1952. Sanger's successful rebranding of eugenics, which included forced sterilizations and abortions, as reproductive rights and healthcare for women, significantly contributed to US family planning policies that have serious racist implications and still deeply divide America today. American politicians and religious leaders cannot defend Sanger's forced sterilization⁶⁷ policies, nor can they defend the bigotry manifested in her speeches, writings and life's work. Sanger persistently dehumanized low-income children, the disabled, mentally ill, immigrants, impoverished women and incarcerated women, by classifying them as "human weeds⁶⁸", "spawning... human beings who never should have been born⁶⁹". Euphemisms and code words, for instance, "feeble minded", "order used to describe targeted women of color, such as Elaine Riddick⁷², who were sterilized as a policy without their consent and with support from the highest courts in the United States⁷³.

Sanger also created and directed the racist Negro Project, which co-opted Black ministers in leadership roles. Sanger stated in a letter to her eugenics colleague, Clarence Gamble (Proctor and Gamble), "we do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population and the minister is the man who can straighten out that idea if it ever occurs to any of their more rebellious members 74." In that effort, Sanger and PPFA targeted civil rights leader Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King. In 1966 PPFA presented an award to Rev. King. However, Dr. King did not attend the ceremony and his wife delivered the speech on his behalf⁷⁵. In the prepared speech Coretta Scott King generously acknowledged PPFA's work in concise themes hailing civil rights, dignity, humane, treatment and humanity. Obviously, two years after the historic signing of the Civil Rights Act, Rev. King could not afford to make enemies with the powerful funders of Planned Parenthood and their supporter President Lyndon Johnson who signed the historic Civil Rights Act in 1964. Dr. King made a Sophie's Choice, because he needed the political and funding support for the civil rights movement. An excerpt from Rev. King's speech, with purposely chosen words to teach, temper and touch the darkest souls, states: "...Sanger launched a movement which is obeying a higher law to preserve human life under humane conditions..." Taken in its precise context and style of Rev. Martin Luther King, he was preaching and using his life principles of "obeying a higher law to preserve human life under humane conditions" to speak tactfully to Sanger to support the dignity of men and women in her work. Therefore, 1) Sanger's polices that supported tens of millions of forced sterilizations in India for US food aid, was an excessively draconian and inhumane condition that would be rejected by Rev. King; 2) Sanger's polices that supported the draconian one-child China policy that sterilized and aborted tens and millions of human beings, is an excessively inhumane condition that would be rejected by Rev. King; and 3) Sanger's draconian polices that forcibly sterilized Black females, immigrants, the poor and disabled in the United States, and her enduring policies that administer the lethal contraceptive Depo Provera to tens of millions women of color and low-income women, while fraudulently concealing life-threatening side-effects, is an inhumane policy of genocide⁷⁶ that would be rejected by Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King, a devout Christian minister.

Surely, Planned Parenthood's claim of support from Rev. Dr. King's is exaggerated as a shield for violating the very rights of people he sacrificed his life protecting. Furthermore, Sanger was an ardent atheist and eugenicist who described poor, vulnerable women and children of God as human weeds. Her worldview was in complete contradiction to Dr. King's teaching of dignity, grace and humanity as devout Christian minister who was martyred leading the *Poor Peoples' Campaign*. Rev. Martin Luther King was diametrically opposed to Sanger's genocidal eugenics views that had earlier been adopted by Nazi Germany to rid the world of so-called unwanted inferior races. Nazis captured after WWII stated that American eugenicists inspired Hitler's racial purification laws, and on trial at *Nuremberg* they justified mass sterilizations by referring to the United States as their inspiration⁷⁷ -- a precursor to the *Holocaust*.

"Never forget that everything Hitler did in Germany was legal." — Rev. Martin Luther King Jr.

LIFE-THREATENING POPULATION CONTROL TARGETING AFRICAN-AMERICANS

In 2011, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Black women had 583,079 births, while white women had 2,150,926 births. The birth rates ⁷⁸ for Black women dropped dramatically by approximately 30%

⁶⁶ American Eugenics Society (AES). List of Prominent founders

⁶⁷ Mark Crutcher. The Black Holocaust Pt.4 Forced Sterilization. Black Genocide in 21st Century America.

⁶⁸ Margaret Sanger, "High Lights in the History of Birth Control," Oct 1923.

⁶⁹ Margaret Sanger, The Pivot of Civilization, Chapter 8 (1922)

⁷⁰ Mark Crutcher. The Black Holocaust Pt.5 Forced Sterilization. Black Genocide in 21st Century America

Margaret Sanger, "High Lights in the History of Birth Control," Oct 1923.

⁷² Id. Elaine Riddick, an African-American was forcibly sterilized in 1968 after being declared feeble minded by a state "board".

⁷³ Andrea Pitzer, U.S. Eugenics Legacy: Ruling on Buck sterilization still stands (6/24/2009)

^{74 &}quot;Birth Control or Race Control? Sanger and the Negro Project". Sanger letter to Clarence Gamble, Dec. 1939. NYU Margaret Sanger Papers Project.

⁷⁵ Planned Parenthood website: The Reverend Martin Luther King Speech: Delivered by Coretta Scott King. (May 5, 1966)

⁷⁶ Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, (Article 6(d)).

⁷⁷ Stefan Kühl. The Nazi connection: Eugenics, American racism, and German National Socialism (New York: Oxford University Press, 1994)

⁷⁸ D'Vera Cohn and Gretchen Livingston. "U.S. Birth Rate Falls to a Record Low; Decline Is Greatest Among Immigrants, by Race, Ethnicity and National Origin". Pew Research Center (November 2012).

and for White women only 5%. Meanwhile, Blacks—only 13 percent of the US population—account for approximately 35% of all abortions⁷⁹ (approx. 7% of Black population are women). In addition to a drastically decreasing birthrate, according to the Committee on Women, Population, & the Environment (CWPE), approximately 84% of all Depo Provera is administered in the United States to Black women and Black children⁸⁰. Clearly, from those statistics stated, it can be deduced that Depo Provera is disproportionately administered and promoted to women of color. Moreover, African-Americans experience significant health disparities and shorter life spans. African-American women do not live as long as Whites and the leading causes of death for Black women are: heart disease, cancer, stroke, diabetes, and HIV/AIDS. The use of Depo Provera can also cause or exacerbate all of those diseases. In contrast, the use of Depo Provera by women in Western Europe (including Canada and Australia) is less than 0.7%; one could then extrapolate that less than 2% of white women in the US are administered Depo Provera without Black Box warnings; however, Whites are 78 percent of the US population (approx. 39% are White women). White women generally encounter the special category of implanted and injected dangerous contraceptives (eg., Depo-Provera, Norplant, Nexplanan, Implanon and Mirena) during visits to subsidized clinics that mostly serve communities of color, low income communities and college students.

There is a prevailing culture of arrogance among an elitist in the United States with foundations in eugenic ideology, too often condoned by Black leaders who are singularly focused on economic advancement, acceptance from abortion rights advocates and financial support from the pharmaceutical industry, which falsely postulates that people of color in the U.S. and Africa are overpopulating the earth. That rationalization justifies the draconian and social Darwinian⁸¹ reproductive health policy that aggressively prohibits births, violating women's right to choose safe and humane birth control.

USAID'S ADMINISTRATOR RAJIV SHAH PROMOTES DEPO PROVERA

According to USAID's Dr. Rajiv Shah, "[Depo Provera] is one of a number [of contraceptives] that we are supporting that expand contraceptive method choice... help make methods more accessible to more than 200 million women and some in the poorest countries of the world." While Dr. Rajiv Shah, a first generation American from India, has no qualms with women of color in America and Africa being injected with Depo Provera, he conveniently omitted the fact that his parents' native India, in 2002, banned this dangerous drug from all family welfare programs some shah is not blind to the fact that India's population of 1.2 billion is greater than the whole of Africa; however, India's landmass is eleven times smaller. The prevaricative flair of Dr. Shah and Pfizer's communications specialists have succeeded in rebranding Depo Provera, to illegally promote and market it as a safe and effective drug for women of color, despite the fact that white women in the United States and Europe scarcely use Depo Provera and have sued Pfizer for millions of dollars for harm. Given the controversial history of India's population control efforts often biased against low-caste Indians who are often victims of unethical medical experimentation shah's indifference to similar sentiments felt by millions of women of color in Africa, the Americas and Southern Asia, is troubling and it begs the question of his concern for marginalized women who are viewed as expendable by those in power.

Dr. Shah's Depo Provera policies are an extension of the first director of the population program at USAID Reimert T. Ravenholt (1966-1979) who could be described as an abject racist. The foundation of Dr. Ravenholt's USAID strategy, just as others at the Population Council and Columbia University's Mailman School of Public health, was to treat fertility and birth of children as a disease that had to be eradicated⁸⁵. In 2000, Dr. Ravenholt said, "American blacks should thank their lucky stars that the institution of slavery did exist in earlier centuries; if not, these American blacks would not exist: their ancestors would have been killed by their black enemies, instead of being sold as slaves. ⁸⁶" Dr. Shah's population control strategy with partner Melinda Gates, to use Depo Provera to immorally eradicate births of people of color globally, is lock, stock and barrel Ravenholt's predatory racist family-planning policy in sheep's clothing.

Dr. Shah, a distinguished Bill Gates employee before his current USAID position, recognizes that BMGF's perceived stamp of approval on Depo Provera serves as a strategy to effectively insulate Pfizer from criminal and civil

⁷⁹ CDC Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR): Abortion Surveillance -- United States, 2009, November 23, 2012 / 61(SS08);1-44

Depo Provera Fact Sheet, Committee on Women, Population, and the Environment (2007).

⁸¹ Charles Darwin. "On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life." (1859)

⁸² PATH News, Innovative partnership to deliver convenient contraceptives to up to three million women (2012).

⁸³ Different Takes, Population and Development Program at Hampshire College (No. 32 2005).

⁸⁴ Dhananjay Mahapatra. "2,644 died during clinical trial of drugs in 7 years: Govt to SC", Times of India (Apr 25, 2013)

⁸⁵ Steven Sinding, "Learning by Doing: Testing the Supply-Side Hypothesis at USAID". Seminar on the Production and Dissemination of Population Knowledge, Brown University, Providence, RI, March 20-25, 2001. Steven Sinding was a Professor Mailman School of Public Health and School of International and Public Affairs Columbia University at the time of this publication.

⁸⁶ Robert Zubrin, "The Population Control Holocaust," The New Atlantis, Number 35, Spring 2012, pp. 33-54.

prosecution, and public criticism. USAID, Pfizer, and Gates' legal teams also recognize that the multiple legal options available to women in the U.S. and Europe are not available to millions of harmed women of color globally, who have to depend on the Alien Tort Statute 28 U.S.C. § 1350 (ATS) to seek redress. However, ATS claims are filed in US district courts that are usually in the offending corporation's home state and, therefore, put foreign plaintiffs at a disadvantage. Nigeria is the only African nation that had the fortitude to seek and successfully sue Pfizer⁸⁷ in the United States. In 1996, Pfizer conducted illegal human experiments in Nigeria, killing or permanently disabled dozens of children in Kano with the FDA approved drug, Trovan. It should be noted that the only time a pharmaceutical company or foundation has built a hospital (not for human research and experimentation) in sub-Saharan Africa, was because it was demanded by Nigerian officials in Kano as part of the Pfizer settlement⁸⁸ for a total of approximately \$75-100 million dollars.

INDIA: A PERMANENT TARGET OF UNITED STATES POPULATION CONTROL POLICY

India was the first developing country to begin voluntary population control strategies in 1951⁸⁹. In 1952 eugenicist Margaret Sanger created the International Planned Parenthood Federation⁹⁰ (IPPF) in India with the help of socialite Dhanvanthi Rama Rau. Then by 1959, India evolved from a fairly voluntary, humane, population control program to conducting mass sterilizations⁹¹ utilizing funds first provided by USAID, followed by IPPF and UNFPA with funding that was funneled by USAID and US private foundations⁹². In 1966, facing a food crisis, Prime Minister Indira Gandhi's pleas for food aid were manipulated by the US. Gandhi came to Washington and on March 28, 1966 met with President Lyndon Johnson.⁹³ Prior to that meeting Gandhi was advised by then-Secretary of State Dean Rusk that the terms of US aid included massive sterilization population control efforts. Gandhi, in a deep economic crisis, accepted dehumanizing sterilization population control measures and received US funding. Two years later, World Bank President Robert McNamara, implemented the same policy of providing foreign aid when developing countries accepted drastic population control measures. The Ford Foundation financed the academics that launched the World Bank forced sterilization program in India in the early 1970s. The program killed approximately 1,774 people in botched medical procedures. At the height of her power, Prime Minister Gandhi (a Hindu) set up appalling forced sterilization camps and denied food rations to lower caste Indians not sterilized. In the last six months of 1976 alone, Gandhi forced the sterilizations of 6.5 million Indians⁹⁴. For adopting those extreme population measures, Gandhi received a substantial flow of foreign aid. Unfortunately, Gandhi's dehumanizing population control directives coupled with other fascist dictates against Sikhs and other marginalized groups ultimately led to her assassination in 1984.

For those historical reasons, India's democratic government heeded women's rights advocates who exposed Depo Provera's life-threatening harm and in 2002, Depo Provera was removed from all India's national family welfare programs ⁹⁵. If private citizens or private birth control providers in India want to purchase or supply Depo Provera, they are free to use private funds. That should be the Depo Provera policy in the United States with no federal subsidies for a special category of implanted and injected dangerous contraceptives (*e.g.*, *Depo-Provera*, *Norplant*, *Nexplanan*, *Implanon and Mirena*) targeted to low income women and women of color and women who receive federal and state subsidized healthcare at clinics. Unfortunately, USAID and its contractors continue promoting Depo Provera, through private channels with US taxpayer funds ^{96,97}. Regrettably, India continues inhumane sterilizations with monetary incentives; however, upper-caste Hindu Brahminical elites have used population control efforts in reducing populations of the Dalit⁹⁸ (i.e. scheduled tribes and scheduled castes) and Muslims. Although assumed to be a thing of the past, extreme caste and sectarian prejudice remains strong and inextricable in India⁹⁹, and it is more probable than not that the Dalits are subject to a draconian contraceptive regime. Other inhumane population control methods have been adopted in India through the selective abortion of girls. Tragically, the mortality rates for Indian girls resulting from selective abortions of increased sharply from approximately 4.2 to 12.1 million between 1980 and 2010¹⁰⁰. Furthermore, according to the NY Times, Indian Census data has already confirmed that the problem has accelerated since 2001, and the 2011 census numbered about 7 million fewer girls than boys under the age of six ¹⁰¹. Where are the voices of distinguished feminists and human rights advocates for girls and women?

⁸⁷ Pfizer v Abdullahi, 562 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2009): http://www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/09/09-34_pet.pdf .In July 2009, a U.S. Court of Appeals ruling against Pfizer found that the prohibition of non-consensual medical experimentation on humans is binding under customary international law, thereby allowing Nigerians the right to seek relief for damages against Pfizer in U.S. courts.

⁸⁸ Trovan: http://www.business-humanrights.org/Categories/Lawlawsuits/Lawsuitsregulatoryaction/LawsuitsSelectedcases/PfizerlawsuitreNigeria

⁸⁹ Paige Whaley Eager. Global Population Policy: From Population Control to Reproductive Rights (2004).

⁹⁰ Robert Zubrin, "The Population Control Holocaust," The New Atlantis, Number 35, Spring 2012, pp. 33-54

⁹¹ Matthew Connelly. Fatal Misconception: The Struggle to Control World Population. (2008)

⁹² Id

⁹³Supra at 92

⁹⁴ Paige Whaley Eager. Global Population Policy: From Population Control to Reproductive Rights (2004)

⁹⁵ Introducing Depo Provera Injectable Contraceptives to Private Medical Practitioners in Urban Gujarat. Frontiers in Reproductive Health / Population Council New Delhi, India; In collaboration with DKT India / EngenderHealth CORT (March 2003).
96 Introducing Depo Provera Injectable Contraceptives to Private Medical Practitioners in Urban Gujarat. Frontiers in Reproductive Health / Population Council New Delhi, India; In collaboration with DKT India / EngenderHealth CORT (March 2003).

⁹⁷ FHI 360 issue brief. Topic: Injectable Contraception in India. FHi360 is a collaboration of Family Health International and former USAID contractor Academy for Educational Development (AED). AED was forced to shut down after it was prosecuted by the DOJ for fraud, bribery and false claims.

⁹⁸ Over 260m victims of caste-based bias: UN. Times of India (May 26, 2013)

⁹⁹ Dalit body alleges discrimination. Times of India (Jun 2, 2013)

¹⁰⁰ Prabhat Jha et al., *Trends in selective abortions of girls in India: analysis of nationally representative birth histories from 1990 to 2005 and census data from 1991 to 2011.* The Lancet, Volume 377, Issue 9781, Pages 1921 - 1928, (4 June 2011)

¹⁰¹ Jim Yardley. As Wealth and Literacy Rise in India, Report Says, So Do Sex-Selective Abortions NY Times (May 24, 2011)

GATES CONCEALS ITS OWN FUNDED RESEARCH OF DEPO PROVERA'S HIV/AIDS RISK

In July 2012, Melinda Gates announced her billion dollar Depo Provera media campaign¹⁰² blitz, incorporating USAID's established partnership with Becton Dickinson, PATH, and Pfizer, which led to the development of Uniject¹⁰³ (*detailed on page 13*). Gates and USAID's goal is to use Pfizer's Depo Provera as the optimum contraceptive for Africa.¹⁰⁴ The new Uniject delivery system obviates the use of licensed healthcare professionals.¹⁰⁵ By utilizing PATH's "self-injection" system, rebranded as Sayana Press in Africa, USAID/Gates circumvents and violates FDA¹⁰⁶ regulations that require healthcare providers counsel patients about Depo Provera's drug warnings. USAID, Melinda Gates and any trier of fact cannot reasonably expect a "self-injecting" mother, earning less than \$4 dollars a day, living in a town or village in Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Cape Verde, Ethiopia, Ghana, Guinea, Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Namibia, Nigeria, Rwanda, Senegal, South Africa, Swaziland, Uganda, Union of the Comoros, United Republic of Tanzania, Sao Tome & Principe and Zimbabwe, to understand the FDA's warnings listing Depo Provera's deadly side effects. BMGF breathlessly participates in a pattern of fraudulently promoting Depo Provera, by illegally concealing fatal harm and/or circumventing FDA patient counseling requirements, to support their population control goals¹⁰⁷, Jacob Levich¹⁰⁸ exposes "The Real Agenda of the Gates Foundation" in this detailed report.

Mrs. Gates minimized the proven risk of acquiring HIV/AIDS with Depo Provera by directing the public to a contrived eight-page "*Technical Statement*¹⁰⁹" published by the United Nations World Health Organization (WHO) five months before Melinda Gates' announcement. It informed the public that Depo Provera was safe; and that all contrary scientific research that linked Depo Provera to HIV infection was "inconclusive". The W.H.O. statement was repeated by several mainstream reporters and experts without questions or investigation. A simple question arises: how could all research that points to Depo Provera's harm be inconclusive, when that is the overwhelming findings of the majority of research, and why are stakeholders with institutional conflicts of interest making that decision?

Paradoxically, the glossy, superficial W.H.O. *Technical Statement* was achieved by lobbying from BMGF, Pfizer, Population Council and others in the Depo Provera policy/profit system to repudiate and discredit BMGF's own funded research findings by distinguished researcher **Renee Heffron** and colleagues. Those findings proved to be unfavorable towards both Depo Provera and the USAID/Gates contraceptive policy. **Renee Heffron et al.** published their findings in 2012 in *Lancet Infectious Diseases* medical journal. In their report, Heffron et al. explicitly recommended informed consent in their "interpretation" of research findings as the following: "Women should be counseled about potentially increased risk of HIV-1 acquisition and transmission with hormonal contraception especially injectable methods¹¹⁰. The fact that preeminent researchers and scientists paid by Gates and NIH made explicit recommendations contradictory to the views of their funders that support Depo Provera and other injectables, underscores the seriousness of HIV/AIDS risk and Depo Provera use. The Gates Foundation arrogates to itself a contradictory standard of regulatory oversight and believes it can contradict, coerce, and/or corrupt officials and regulators, and discredit preeminent scientists that conduct research with findings contrary to Gates' worldview.

UN-WHO INFLUENCED BY GATES/USAID/POPULATION COUNCIL AND PFIZER OFFICIALS

As previously discussed, in early 2012, an article in Lancet Infectious Diseases Heffron et al, reported that a study in Africa found that women who used injectable contraceptives (primarily Depo-Provera) were more likely to acquire HIV/AIDS compared to women not using hormonal contraception. Furthermore, *Heffron et al.* specifically recommended informing women of harm and using alternative low dose contraceptives. However, extreme population control advocates and Pfizer intermediaries disingenuously attacked Heffron's research findings without conducting any scientific research to substantiate their claims. The Heffron critics included BMGF, Planned Parenthood, UN, and USAID via the Guttmacher Institute^{111 112}, Ronald Gray¹¹³ (*Johns Hopkins University*), James Shelton¹¹⁴ (*USAID*), and David Hubacher

¹⁰² Chris Wickham, Melinda Gates pledges \$560 million for contraception, Reuters (2011).

¹⁰³ Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and PATH. Home-Based Administration of Depo-Subq in The Uniject Injection System.

¹⁰⁴ PATH News, Innovative partnership to deliver convenient contraceptives to up to three million women (2012).

¹⁰⁵Holly Grigg-Spall, *The Global Implications of Putting Population Control Over HIV Prevention*, EDGE BOSTON (2012).

¹⁰⁶Pfizer, *Depo Provera Warning and Precautions* (2012).

Holly Grigg-Spall, *The Global Implications of Putting Population Control Over HIV Prevention*, EDGE (Dec 2, 2012).

¹⁰⁸ *Jacob Levich, jlevich@earthlink.net, has written on imperialist military strategy for Aspects No. 42.

WHO, Department of Reproductive Health and Research, *Hormonal contraception and HIV Technical statement* (February 16, 2012).

¹¹⁰ Heffron et al, Use Of Hormonal Contraceptives And Risk Of HIV-1transmission: A Prospective Cohort Study, Lancet Infect Dis (2012).

¹¹¹ Guttmacher Institute. Hormonal Contraceptives and HIV Risk—Emerging Evidence in Context (July 2012). Funded by Gates.

¹¹² From 1962-1974, Dr. Alan Guttmacher was one of the most effective and ruthless population control policymakers to lead Planned Parenthood and the International Planned Parenthood Federation. Dr. Guttmacher also the Vice President of the American Eugenics Society advised US and foreign government officials on policies that forcibly sterilized men and women. Guttmacher advised and collaborated with USAID and UNFPA on the most inhumane forced sterilization programs in India.

(Family Health International 360¹¹⁵), and others with vested interests at Ford, Gates, NIH, Pfizer, Rockefeller or USAID, who facilitate the use of Depo Provera in US family planning policy. However, *Heffron et al.* responded effectively 116 to the unsubstantiated transparent attacks by *Gray*, *Shelton* and *Hubacher* that minimize Depo Provera's HIV/AIDS harm.

Renee Heffron's exceptional research warning African women about Depo Provera, led to an international debate rigged by USAID, Gates, Planned Parenthood, Population Council officials, lobbyists and extreme population control advocates. The debate was about whether or not to continue to subsidize and promote Depo-Provera to African women, as well as whether or not to enforce mandatory warning to African women that taking the drug increased risk for HIV/AIDS. When enforced, mandatory warnings for African women translate to a significant loss of income for Pfizer and a complete reevaluation of outdated U.S. and UN family planning policy with racist implications. Therefore, the UN-WHO, which depends heavily on Gates and other philanthropists to support its programs, convened a closed meeting in Geneva on January 31, 2012, to guarantee that the Melinda Gates \$4 billion-Pfizer-USAID partnership was secured.

CLOSED U.N. MEETING IN GENEVA, SWITZERLAND FROM JANUARY 31 TO FEBRUARY 1, 2012

From January 31 to February 1, 2012, WHO staff met in Geneva, Switzerland to discuss the HIV research evidence in a closed meeting with invited experts (who were sworn to secrecy). The WHO staff subsequently reached a decision through WHO's "Guidelines Review Committee," and on February 16, 2012, WHO announced its decision in Geneva, Switzerland stating that: Women living with HIV/AIDS or at high risk of HIV/AIDS can safely continue to use hormonal contraceptives to prevent pregnancy 117,

CDC/USAID DOCUMENT EXAMINED IN W.H.O. GENEVA DEPO PROVERA DEBATE¹¹⁸

The document analyzed at the meeting, which the WHO claimed presented insufficient reason to withdraw Depo Provera and enforce mandatory warnings to women and withheld from the public, was apparently prepared by two careerists, Chelsea Polis, of the USAID and Kathryn Curtis of the CDC. Polis and Curtis provided Gates, USAID and WHO with the cover needed to promote Depo Provera without enforceable mandatory warnings of HIV risk, after Gates and NIH's own research conducted by Rene Heffron et al. demonstrated findings to the contrary. The Polis/Curtis manuscript used in the WHO decision was submitted to Lancet Infectious Diseases (LID). However, as of early May 2013, their draft manuscript has not been published by Lancet--the document can be downloaded here.

Chelsea Polis (USAID) and Kathryn Curtis (CDC) Barter the Lives of Women to Advance Careers

Comments in the following paragraphs are based on their draft manuscript submitted to Lancet Infectious Diseases (LID): With some caveats, Polis' and Curtis' review found most relevant studies of HIV/AIDS incidence in women with vs. without injectable contraceptives, a good summary of this evidence can be found in Figure 3 on page 37. However, elsewhere in the paper, several research reports are rejected as an effort to water down available evidence not supportive of Depo Provera's use in high-risk areas. However, the attempt to discredit Heffron et al. fails, because the Heffron research is backed by 11 other independent research experiments, while only four experiments support the use of Depo Provera in high HIV regions. Find the detailed explanation below.

- 1) In Figure 3, each line reports the relative risk (RR) of contracting HIV/AIDS for women who take injectable contraceptives vs. other women in a single study (the dot, square, or triangle shows the RR; read the scale at the bottom of the Figure) and the 95% confidence interval around this RR.
 - 2) An RR=1 means that women who take injectable contraceptives are at equal risk to get HIV/AIDS compared to other women.
- 3) As is evident from Figure 3, most studies found women taking injective contraceptives to be at higher risk to contract HIV than women who were not taking such injections; the majority of the dots, squares, or triangles are to the right of the vertical line, showing RR>1.

¹¹³ In Dr. Ronald Gray's July 2012 critique of Heffron in Lancet, Dr Gray of Johns Hopkins conceals all conflicts of interest (COI): Pfizer, Gates, NIH and USAID amongst others. Gray concealed the fact that he co-authored this document with Chelsea Polis of USAID (see page 12). Gary was a principal investigator in a Depo Provera-Gates funded study on HIV infected women in Rakai Uganda - the Rebecca Project has no documentation of valid informed consent for that study. Johns Hopkins actively promotes Depo Provera without respecting the FDA's Black Box warnings. In the past JHU recommended treating male sex-offenders with Depo Provera (informational- not a COI). Gray unethically declared he has no conflict of interest in his critique of Heffron, when in fact he has significant institutional conflicts of interests. Though conflicts do not preclude Dr. Gary from critiquing Heffron, deliberately concealing conflicts of interest suggests a lack of ethical

¹¹⁴ In James Shelton's July 2012 critique of Heffron in Lancet, Shelton of USAID conceals conflicts of interest (COI): Pfizer, Gates, NIH, & USAID. Shelton declares no COI in his critique. Though conflicts do not preclude Shelton from critiquing Heffron, deliberately concealing conflicts suggests a lack of ethical independence. 115 In Hubacher's July 2012 critique of Heffron in Lancet, Hubacher conceals several conflicts of interest with Pfizer, Gates, USAID and others. His only declared conflict is Bayer, which coincidentally is licensed to sell Pfizer's other dangerous contraceptive Norplant to developing countries after it was pulled from the US market. FHi360 is a permanent USAID contractor with no substantive independence from USAID regarding family planning. Furthermore, FHi360 is a collaboration of Family Health International and former USAID contractor Academy for Educational Development (AED). AED was forced to shut down after it was prosecuted by the DOJ for fraud, bribery and false claims. Though conflicts do not preclude Hubacher from critiquing Heffron, deliberately concealing conflicts suggests a lack of ethical independence. See Authors' Reply, Correspondence, The Lancet, Vol 12 (July 2011)

¹¹⁶ Renee Heffron, Helen Rees, Nelly Mugo, Jared M Baeten. Authors' Reply, Correspondence, The Lancet, Vol 12 (July 2011) - Renee Heffron et al. ethically declare all institutional conflicts with the Gates Foundation and NIH.

¹¹⁷ United Nations Media: WHO upholds guidance on hormonal contraceptive use and HIV (16 February 2012)

¹¹⁸ Information provided by confidential source.

IS THE GATES FOUNDATION MORE POWERFUL THAN THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION (FDA)?

The untrammeled power of Bill and Melinda Gates is even more evident in the twenty-page Gates/PATH document, Home-Based Administration of Depo-Subq in the Uniject Injection System¹¹⁹, which authorizes the use of a self-inject Depo Provera system at home. The Gates/PATH document details a four-year Depo Provera self-inject strategy, which: 1) circumvents FDA **Black Box** warning by obviating health professional counseling requirements, and; 2) is in violation of United States of America's most stringent drug warnings authorized and promulgated by the FDA i.e., Black Box warnings. In effect, it supersedes Department of Health and Human Services and FDA statutes and regulations. The document is void of following words, "FDA", "Black Box", "warning" and "osteoporosis", which are essential in describing and counseling women of Depo Provera's life-threatening harm as ordered by the FDA. However, to promote Depo Provera as a more advantageous optimum contraceptive with minimal side-effects, Gates and PATH make some false and illegal off-label claims that Depo Provera provides: (i) protection against endometrial cancer and uterine fibroids, (ii) reduced sickle cell crises in women with sickle cell (targeting Blacks), and (iii) decreased risk of irondeficiency anemia. It should be noted that Depo Provera has not been approved by the FDA as a cancer prevention drug or for other medical uses. Since it is illegal for Pfizer to make off-label claims, it utilizes intermediaries to evade US laws.

Moreover, as controlling principal intermediaries for Pfizer (see page 14), Gates and PATH deceitfully obliterate the FDA's mandate by effectively transferring the FDA's authority to regulate Depo Provera to United Nations-WHO. In their document, Home-Based Administration of Depo-Subg in the Uniject Injection System, Gates and PATH minimize and downplay Black Box warnings about mineral bone density loss, by referencing the United Nations-W.H.O. with an implied overriding authority, stating the following (page 3, footnote: c), "...data indicates that DMPA reduces bone mineral density (BMD) in women using DMPA who have attained peak bone mass, and impairs the acquisition of bone mineral among those who have not yet attained peak bone mass.... when DMPA use is discontinued, BMD increases again in women, regardless of age, except for those who have reached menopause." The WHO recommends that there should be no restrictions on the use of DMPA, including restricting the duration of use, for women age 18-45 years who are otherwise eligible to use the method." These statements taken in totality are contextually false to specifically circumvent the FDA's Black Box warnings. If Depo Provera is genuinely a safe and effective contraceptive, with only minimal side effects, why then are Gates, Hopkins, USAID, Planned Parenthood and Pfizer's other intermediaries deliberately concealing the plain "Black-Letter" FDA Black-Box warnings in their effort to minimize and conceal Depo Provera's life-threatening harm?

A NOTE ABOUT THE UNITED NATIONS WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (W.H.O.)

The W.H.O. executive body is comprised of 34 international government bureaucrats, technocrats and some public health doctors who are bereft of experience in conducting scientific research on progestin contraceptives (Depo Provera/Norplant), breast cancer, HIV/AIDS or cardiovascular disease and they lack expertise in human rights law or health law. There is a joke among Africans that the WHO will in all likelihood endorse and approve any banned or rejected substance in the U.S. for use in Africa. Examples that validate these claims include Monsanto's DDT and Pfizer's Norplant, which were withdrawn from US markets, but are actively used in developing nations with the approval of USAID and the UN. Though W.H.O. representatives are well meaning government officials, they are in fact not independent representatives of the interests of their countries. In reality, they are constructively held captive to the directives of multinational corporations, USAID and billion dollar foundations. Those institutions financially support the developing world's economies and provide government officials with financial incentives.

In 2002, amidst a flurry of lawsuits in the US, Pfizer pulled Norplant from the US market. Norplant (implanted in women) similar to Depo Provera (injected in women) are both progestin-only contraceptives. In 1966, the Norplant contraceptive was developed by Sheldon Segal and Horatio Croxatto at the Population Council (the same research institution that hired Dr. James Phillips of Columbia University to design and conduct the unethical Navrongo Depo Provera experiment in Ghana). Despite the fact that Norplant is considered dangerous and pulled from the U.S. market, WHO continues to approve its sale abroad, and Pfizer quietly peddles Norplant through the Bayer Corporation to developing countries. Furthermore, the Population Council persistently promotes Norplant as a safe contraceptive on its U.S. website (featuring the usual pictures of adolescent African females as models, but never European females) with this statement verifying the WHO stamp of approval "Although approved by the FDA in 1990, Norplant is not currently available in the United States. This contraceptive implant was confirmed to be effective for seven years by the World Health Organization 120 ". This is only one example that reveals the dominant corporate interests influencing WHO officials, because certainly promoting the dangerous contraceptive Norplant does not support the health interests and dignity of women of color and low-income women worldwide.

13

¹¹⁹ Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and PATH. Home-Based Administration of Depo-Subq in The Uniject Injection System. This is the new self-inject Depo Provera system that obviates required patient counseling to circumvent FDA **Black Box** warnings -- Kenya, Malawi, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal are initial targets. Population Council Website, Norplant, http://www.rebeccaprojectjustice.org/images/stories/Fact%20Sheets/norplant-population-council.pdf

LEGAL PRECEDENT FOR THE FBI AND US PROSECUTORS TO INVESTIGATE AND FILE CHARGES FOR FRAUD

U.S. prosecutors have legal precedent¹²¹ to prosecute drug companies and their *controlling principal* intermediaries, e.g., BMGF, Rockefeller Foundation, Ford Foundation, Planned Parenthood and other institutions that collude to conceal harm and illegally market and promote dangerous drugs. Fraud has been associated with Depo Provera's¹²² promotion as early as 1975 and government officials have been regularly bribed by Pfizer¹²³. This system of illegal rebranding, illegal promotion/marketing and kickbacks from Pfizer providing monetary incentives to government officials, health officials, and other stakeholders is not an anomaly associated solely with Depo Provera. The Department of Justice is fully abreast of Pfizer's rebranding fraud. This DOJ lawsuit, endorsed in 2009 by Assistant Attorney General Tony West¹²⁴, details a \$2.3 billion settlement agreement as the result of Pfizer's bribes, kickbacks, Medicaid schemes, and its illegal rebranding, marketing/promotion and distribution of drugs in the United States. As stated earlier in this report it is important to note that Africans do not have immediate access to Pfizer and drug corporations in U.S. courts for the same fraud. Therefore, billion dollar financial losses to Pfizer due to successful prosecutions by the Department of Justice¹²⁵ are offset by aggressively promoting/marketing more dangerous drugs purchased by USAID to the developing world, highly populated regions of Southern Asia, and to Medicaid patients in the US, who cannot navigate informed consent procedures and/or US courts to sue for redress.

Since it is illegal for Pfizer to promote off-label claims, it utilizes intermediaries to evade US law who consequently become liable for the fraudulent promotion, marketing and administering of Depo Provera to women. According to the Rebecca Project for Justice, the fundamental distinction between an independent physician in a private practice or institution recommending Depo Provera (with counseling of Black Box warnings) for a specific off-label prescription use such as endometriosis, and Pfizer's controlling principal intermediaries who are promoting off-label claims while deliberately concealing FDA Black Box warnings, is that independent physicians are not constructive participants nor the proprietors/architects of one or more of the following elements: (1) innovation, (2) funding, (3) investing, (4) manufacturing, (5) advertising, (6) websites for health clinics and institutions, (7) distributing, (8) supplying, (9) policy, and (10) proprietors of specialized knowledge and research data detailing life-threatening harm, in the Depo Provera policy/profit system. BMGF, USAID, the Population Council, the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, Planned Parenthood and a special category agents and contractors, including public health universities, are indeed *controlling principal* intermediaries for Pfizer. All documents and medical websites promoting Depo Provera to governments, public and private stakeholders must display Black Box warnings if affiliated with US federal funding. However, an independent physician/institution is inadvertently a constructive participant in the promotion of Depo Provera (whereas not a controlling principal intermediary), if physician/institution advertises or promotes Depo Provera on a website or via mass media to the general public. At that point, the physician/institution is required to display the plain "Black-Letter" Black Box warnings on the website and cannot make false claims or off-label claims, Complying with DHHS/FDA regulations and statues must be a requirement to maintain *tax-exempt* status and medical licenses.

Reverence for Bill and Melinda Gates and others philanthropic funders is understandable. However, one must realize that the Gates family has a habitual practice of engaging in multiple illegal business practices (*see page 19*). New York Times reporter James Kantor, in his report "European Regulators Fine Microsoft...", wrote "Microsoft has been a special case in the history of European Union antitrust enforcement, racking up a total of \$3.4 billion in fines over about a decade¹²⁶." Stephen Castle and David Jolly, New York Times reporters, narrated an initial Microsoft \$1.3 billion fine. Castle and Jolly wrote, "Microsoft was the first company in 50 years of E.U. competition policy that the commission has had to fine for failure to comply with an antitrust decision. 127" Microsoft's antitrust fines for illegally marketing Windows to maintain a monopoly, are equal to or greater than those paid by Pfizer for its illegal marketing drug schemes. For that reason, with Depo Provera being marketed and promoted by two corporate giants that have been investigated, prosecuted and have paid the highest illegal marketing/promotion fines in history, it is essential that there is Congressional oversight with Depo Provera's promotion to avoid an impending global health crisis with this billion dollar investment. Moreover,

¹²¹ Department of Justice, Office of Public Affairs: GlaxoSmithKline to Plead Guilty and Pay \$3 Billion to Resolve Fraud Allegations and Failure to Report Safety Data (July 2, 2012).

¹²² Depo Provera Fact Sheet, Committee on Women, Population, and the Environment (2007).

¹²³ Department of Justice, Office of Public Affairs: Pfizer H.C.P. Corp. Agrees to Pay \$15 Million Penalty to Resolve Foreign Bribery Investigation, SEC and Companies Agree to Civil Disgorgement of \$45 Million (August 7, 2012).

¹²⁴ Dept. of Justice, *Pfizer Settlement Agreement with US Department of Justice*, Justice.gov (2009).

¹²⁵ Duff Wilson, *Drug Makers' Feared Enemy*, New York Times (June 4, 2011).

¹²⁶ James Kantor, "European Regulators Fine Microsoft, Then Promise to Do Better", NY Times (March 6, 2013)

¹²⁷ Stephen Castle and David Jolly, "Europe Fines Microsoft \$1.3 Billion", NY Times (February 28, 2008)

federal scrutiny from the DOJ/FBI and HHS-Office of Inspector General to investigate ongoing patterns of fraud and racketeering is warranted.

The DOJ should charge Pfizer with fraud for the following and other reasons:

- (a) Pfizer with *controlling principal* institutional intermediaries: Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, USAID, Population Council, Ford Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation, Planned Parenthood, Mailman School of Public Health, Bloomberg School of Public health, are engaged in a pattern of fraudulent and illegal promotion schemes with other agents and contractors, to deceive and defraud patients, US taxpayers, ethical physicians, ethical birth control providers, regulators/FDA, insurance companies, federal and state health programs, to cause prescribing and payments for Depo Provera.
- (b) Pfizer with controlling principal intermediaries: BMGF, USAID, the Population Council, the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, Planned Parenthood, Mailman School of Public Health, other agents and contractors, use a wide variety of monetary incentives and kickbacks as a quid pro quo to induce and encourage birth control providers, US and international government officials, physicians, researchers and/or human rights advocates, to downplay and conceal Depo Provera's harm. Those monetary incentives and kickbacks come in the form of consulting fees, donations, research grants, travel, program grants, research duties as *principal investigators* or *investigators* and publishing opportunities in research/medical journals.
- (c) In furtherance of the fraudulent and illegal promotion of Depo Provera, Pfizer's controlling principal intermediaries: BMGF, USAID, the Population Council, the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, Planned Parenthood, Mailman School of Public Health, other agents and contractors, engage in a pattern of intimidation, threats, obstruction of investigations, withholding information that exposes harm; in addition, colluding to harm organizations, advocates and health professionals who elucidate Depo Provera's harm to protect women.
- (d) Pfizer with controlling principal intermediaries fraudulently promote/market and administer Depo Provera as a safe contraceptive for women, adolescents and children¹²⁸ by concealing, downplaying and minimizing¹²⁹ life-threatening harm. That fraud results in women and girls being forced, coerced and constructively held captive to use Depo Provera without knowledge of FDA warnings and other lethal harm; thereby, denying women their inalienable right to choose a safer contraceptive. The Parties also promote Depo Provera for uses that the FDA has not approved in a pattern of "off-label" claims. This illegal rebranding of Depo Provera while receiving payments from the US government is illegal under the False Claims Act (FCA), 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729 3733.
- (e) 1998, the FDA sent a letter to Pfizer, the maker of Depo Provera, requesting an immediate halt to advertisements that misled women about risks and side effects of Depo Provera. However, evocative of eugenic policies supported by wealthy philanthropists similar to Bill Gates, Pfizer and Gates via Planned Parenthood target marketing to mainly Blacks and Latinas. Whites are responsible for over 60% of U.S. citizen newborns—not Blacks or Hispanics. In 2013, Israel banned and restricted Depo Provera with funding from Shira Saperstein of the Moriah Fund supporting Human Rights groups (ACRI). While Saperstein protects Jewish women in her homeland Israel from lethal Depo Provera, Saperstein callously promotes Depo Provera as a safe drug for Blacks/Hispanics in the U.S. and Africa".

Pfizer and intermediaries own specialized knowledge and proprietary research data of the following:

- 1) *FDA Black-box warnings*¹³⁰ require that women should be informed of significant bone density loss and not use Depo Provera for more than two years.
- 2) Pfizer's own research data from clinical trials and information furnished to US physicians consistently *demonstrate* several debilitating side-effects¹³¹, and indicate that even after discontinuing Depo Provera in adolescents, mean bone mineral density loss at total hip and femoral neck did not fully recover by 5 years [see Clinical Studies (14.3)¹³²].
- 3) The American Association for Cancer Research also found that women who use Depo Provera have *double the risk of developing breast cancer*¹³³.
- 4) BMGF's own privately funded HIV/AIDS research with the NIH, published by the leading medical journal, *The Lancet, recommends informing women with HIV/AIDS of the risk of Depo Provera harm and to use alternative non-progesterone based contraceptives*¹³⁴, because it exacerbates the rates of HIV/AIDS infections to their sexual partners.

¹³⁴ Heffron et al, Use Of Hormonal Contraceptives And Risk Of HIV-1transmission: A Prospective Cohort Study, Lancet Infect Dis (2012).

¹²⁸ Katie Thomas, J.&J. Fined \$1.2 Billion In Drug Case, New York Times, (April 2012)

¹²⁹ United States ex rel. Greg Thorpe, Et al., v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC C.A. No. 11-10398-RWZ, (October 26, 2011)

¹³⁰ Pfizer, FDA Box-Warnings for Depo Provera, Letter to US Healthcare Professionals (November 18, 2004)

¹³¹ Pfizer, Depo Provera Warning and Precautions (2012).

¹³² *Id* (page 2 and 3)

¹³³ Christopher I. Li, Elisabeth F. Beaber, Mei Tzu Chen Tang, et al., Effect of Depo-Medroxyprogesterone Acetate on Breast Cancer Risk among Women 20 to 44 Years of Age, American Association for Cancer Research (2012)

Underscoring the importance of this research is the fact that Heffron and her research team funded by Gates and NIH did not succumb to pressure and still maintain their professional integrity even after they were attacked. This Heffron reply published by *The Lancet* responds to those attacks.

- 5) Researchers from Rockefeller University, New York University School of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, New England Regional Primate Research Center, and other prominent researchers found that *Depo Provera increases SIV transmission eight-fold in Simian monkeys*. This finding is supported by research on simian primates, which found that progesterone multiplied their risk to SIV (*simian version of HIV*) by eight times¹³⁵.
- 6) Posted on Planned Parenthood's website (featuring a woman of color as the face model) is the off-label claim: "The shot [Depo Provera] can help prevent cancer of the lining of the uterus (page 2)¹³⁶". A search of Depo Provera's FDA approval¹³⁷ does not list the contraceptive as a cancer prevention drug specifically; any other approval by the FDA should be stated or is fraud. Gates Foundation/PATH also make similar false claims (see page 3)-- Gates claims that Depo Provera "reduced sickle cell crises in women with sickle cell anemia" (a hereditary disease unique to Black people).

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Rebecca Project for Justice urges the US Government to enforce mandatory FDA **Black Box** patient counseling requirements. Health providers must obtain valid informed consent before Depo Provera is administered in the United States, Africa, the Caribbean, Latin America, Southern Asia and the rest of USAID's program areas; as implemented in Israel where Depo Provera prescriptions were banned then restricted with strict informed consent. Finally, the US government should follow the humane policy of India and end all government/federal funding of Depo Provera in all social welfare and family planning programs. Bill and Melinda Gates can pay for Depo Provera at their own risk of multiple lawsuits and public contempt as modern-day eugenicists who coercively inject women without their consent and against their will.

This year (2013), during the 150th Anniversary of the Emancipation Proclamation, we honored Frederick Douglass and Rosa Parks as monuments of courage and reflected on Abraham Lincoln, who also had the courage and conviction to begin a process of implementing equal protections when he announced the Emancipation Proclamation on September 22, 1862, by Presidential Executive Order which took effect on January 1, 1863. Two years later, Congress passed the 13th Amendment to the Constitution, stating that "neither slavery nor involuntary servitude shall exist in the United States," and "neither shall the United States support slavery and involuntary servitude in faraway lands." Women of color in Africa and globally are exploited as modern day slaves for human medical experimentation, and their communities have become dumps for dangerous drugs not offered to most privileged women in the United States and Europe. Safe contraceptives and drugs should not only be a human right for privileged Americans.

We respectfully urge the following Committees to hold Congressional hearings on Depo Provera's fraudulent promotion and funding: 1) House Foreign Affairs and Senate Foreign Relations, 2) House and Senate Judiciary, 3) House Energy and Commerce and Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation and 4) House Oversight and Government Reform and Senate Homeland Security and Government Affairs. Ending funding shall eliminate the pervasive coercion associated with some unscrupulous birth control providers such as Planned Parenthood, who serve low-income women and women of color and characteristically eschew informing women about debilitating side effects.

IMPACT OF ADVOCACY EFFORTS TO COMBAT UNETHICAL RESEARCH & DANGEROUS DRUGS

On September 23, 2011, the Rebecca Project's advocacy work on Depo Provera in Africa culminated in the publication of *The Outsourcing of Tuskegee: Nonconsensual Research in Africa* report. It was launched at Congressman John Conyers' Judiciary Brain Trust during the Congressional Black Caucus (CBC) Conference organized by Keenan Keller, *Senior Judiciary Committee Counsel for Congressman John Conyers*. The CBC Unethical Human Research panel was moderated by Laura Murphy, *Director, ACLU (Washington, D.C. Legislative Office)* and the panelists were: Grace Akallo, *Executive Director-United Africans for Women & Children Rights*, Dr. Avis Jones-DeWeever, *former Executive Director National Council of Negro Women*, and Edee Saada Saar alias Malika Saada Saar¹³⁸- *former Executive Director of the Rebecca Project*. Saar and panelists spoke powerfully in support of the report calling unethical research in Africa a "crime against humanity". At that same CBC Braintrust, Rebecca Project Policy Director, Kwame Fosu, spoke to Civil Rights Asst. Attorney General Tom Perez, who briefly reviewed the document, expressed interest and asked Fosu to

Published 6/25/2013 : Updated 7/12/2014

16

Preston, et. al., Progesterone Implants Enhance SIV Vaginal Transmission And Early Virus Load, Nature Medicine 2, 1084 - 1089 (1996).

¹³⁶ Planned Parenthood Website, *Depo Provera Birth Control Shot on Page 2*, (Retrieved 6/19/2012).

¹³⁷ FDA Approved Drug Products: Depo Provera's FDA approval @ http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm

¹³⁸ Malika Saada Saar, named, rewrote portions, edited and art directed Report's cover prior to CBC launch in 2011. Saar and colleagues actively promoted Report to media and on Capitol Hill. Saar then colluded with our funders (except Jessie Smith Noyes Foundation) to discredit Report when funders threatened to terminate grants.

contact him or Counsel Emily Loeb. Rebecca Project's CBC forum was followed up with two forums on unethical research at Georgetown University Law Center attended by Congressional staffers, advocates and public health experts.

Following the report's presentation during the Congressional Black Caucus Conference, Rebecca Project for Justice's efforts were lauded. In October 2011, the President of the Population Council, Peter Donaldson and his General Counsel, Patricia Vaughan, came to the US Congress to discuss the Rebecca Project's report on unethical research in Africa with Mr. Keller. During this meeting, Mr. Donaldson relayed that he welcomed the Rebecca Project's report stating that, "anyone who denies what is explained in the report is 'suspect'." Mr. Donaldson communicated to Mr. Keller that improvements need to be made in research conducted in Africa and supported efforts to achieve this goal.

Mr. Donaldson further agreed that he welcomed a GAO report that Mr. Keller suggested to study the *Navrongo Experiment*¹³⁹ to improve oversight and ensure compliance of grantees with the World Health Organization's ethical human research guidelines. This was particularly rewarding, because Dr. James Phillips and Population Council researchers involved in this fraudulent Navrongo Experiment had erected a white-wall of silence. Requests to open a full review of the Navrongo Experiment were sent to USAID¹⁴⁰ and also made to Dean Linda P. Fried of the Mailman School¹⁴¹ and Dr. Judith Rodin of the Rockefeller Foundation¹⁴², because they all employ the services of corrupt researcher Dr. James F. Phillips. Drs. Fried and Rodin responded through agents denying knowledge of Dr. Phillips' unethical research.

WHO DIRECTS UNITED STATES' POPULATION CONTROL POLICY?

Eugenics is an ideology advocating the improvement of human population by stringently controlled breeding through the promotion of higher reproduction of desired "preferred" people, and reduced reproduction of less desired "unfit" people. To put eugenics in its contextual power dynamic, just as the sin of slavery was supported by the "Founding Fathers" of the United States, all "Founders" of the eugenic movement were affluent white academia and industry during a period in America when dehumanization and overt discrimination of Blacks was acceptable. Some of our notable eugenicists are: Clarence Gamble (Proctor & Gamble), Dr. Alan F. Guttmacher (PPFA, IPPF and Guttmacher Institute), Henry Ford, John Harvey Kellogg, (cornflakes), Andrew W. Mellon, JP Morgan, Linus Pauling (absolute genius), Margaret Sanger (contraception/forced sterilizations/abortions), John Rockefeller III, Dr. Marie Stopes (contraception/reproductive rights), and so on. Eugenics in all its intellectual permutations is simply an euphemistic manifestation of hate in all its variations: bigotry, racism, and the dehumanization of the disabled, mentally ill and downtrodden.

The United States has created a normative population control worldview directed through a surreptitious patchwork of policies promulgated by organizations whose ideological formation and funding eugenicists have provided. At the **top tier** of population control policy are organizations created by pioneers and patrons of the eugenics movement: Planned Parenthood Federation of America (PPFA), International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF), Population Council, UNFPA (The United Nations Fund for Population Activities), and their patrons: the Ford Foundation, (*Margaret Hempel*), the Rockefeller Foundation, (*Judith Rodin*) and USAID. The newcomer to this elite group of eugenicist policymakers are Bill and Melinda Gates, welcomed with their foray into promoting the injectable contraceptive Depo Provera while concealing life-threatening harm. On the **secondary tier** are a cadre of affluent advocates, advocacy groups, foundations, and research institutions, acting as credible academic references, experts and enforcers to insure that the fundamental goals of eugenic funders are carried out unchallenged. With a contradictory global anthem of a woman's right to choose and women's empowerment, they deny women of color and low-income women their inalienable right to choose safe contraceptives. Some of these institutions, foundations, advocacy groups and advocates such as: Guttmacher Institute, CHANGE (*Serra Sippel*), RHrealityCheck (*Jodi Jacobson*), Ibis Reproductive Health, Moriah Fund (*Shira Saperstein*), and NoVo (*Pamela Shifman*), who routinely illegally collude as enforcers ¹⁴⁴ to obliterate dissent.

Background: In 1952, Catholic doctors joined their national delegations to the World Health Assembly to defeat a plan to use WHO for population control purposes. That same year (1952) there was a closed meeting in Williamsburg, Virginia, (similar to the 2012 closed UN meeting in Geneva, Switzerland to approve Depo Provera) led by eugenics patron John D. Rockefeller III seeking an alternate vehicle for population control. That led to Rockefeller's creation of the Population Council. The Ford foundation also donated seed money for the Population Council. Coincidentally, that same year (1952) eugenicist Margaret Sanger created IPPF in India. The UN Fund for Population Activities (UNFPA) was created between 1967 and 1969 (initiated by Lyndon B Johnson an ardent proponent for population control). Since UNFPA's inception it has been funded mainly by the United States (about 50% of funding) as an international population control vehicle. USAID funnels money through UNFPA to other population control vehicles e.g., IPPF that contributed to atrocious sterilization programs in India, Peru and China (the one-child policy program). The heads of UNFPA may be people of color, but they make decisions in the interests of major funders (US, Canada and Europe).

Published 6/25/2013 : Updated 7/12/2014

17

¹³⁹Debpuur C, Phillips JF, Jackson EF, Nazzar A, Ngom P, Binka FN. The impact of the Navrongo Project on contraceptive knowledge and use, reproductive preferences, and fertility. Studies in Family Planning (2002).

¹⁴⁰Retrieved from REBECCA PROJECT archives: Electronic communication with N. Schiegg (September - October 2010).

¹⁴¹Retrieved from REBECCA PROJECT archives: Electronic communication with Fried, Phillips (July - October 2010, Sept 2011).

¹⁴²Retrieved from REBECCA PROJECT archives: Electronic communication with J Rodin via Shari Patrick (July 30 2010).

¹⁴³ Dr. Marie from Stopes was based in the United Kingdom and did not allow forced sterilizations and abortions to be performed in her clinics while she was alive.

¹⁴⁴ Retrieved from RPHR archives via confidential source: Email indicating funder colluded with Malika Saada Saar and others to discredit report (2011)

CONCLUSION

The Rebecca Project for Justice has established unequivocally that targeting women by rebranding of Depo Provera to minimize and conceal its harm and making false claims, is a crime under U.S. law. The DOJ has successfully prosecuted Pfizer and other drug companies for similar fraudulent business practices that harm consumers. There is no humane or legal basis to continue injecting women with a dangerous contraceptive without mandatory informed consent alerting women of FDA "Black-Box" warnings and other debilitating side effects. Given the DOJ's successful prosecution of Pfizer, GSK and other drug companies for their history of false claims and illegal marketing/fraudulent promotion schemes, a succession of legal precedents have been handed down providing the legal basis for the U.S. government to take action. To the dismay of many African-American and African women's rights advocates, the U.S. government's consensual silence imputes complicity in this crime of unethically injecting and experimenting on women with Depo Provera via programs supported by the CDC, DHHS, NIH and USAID.

In efforts to penetrate communities of color, Pfizer and others in the Depo Provera policy/profit system skillfully appoint brilliant African-American women as defense shields against potential criticism and scrutiny from communities of color. The use of African-American intermediaries effectively silences, marginalizes, and undermines the actions of sincere advocates working solely for justice and human rights. Some notable Black female intermediaries are: Karen Boykin Towns (Pfizer/NAACP), Vanessa Cullins (Planned Parenthood's Medical Officer), Patricia Vaughan (General Counsel, Population Council). Another prominent African-American intermediary enmeshed in Pfizer's assault on the well-being of women is Freda Lewis-Hall who is compensated in excess of two-million dollars a year as Pfizer's Chief Medical Officer. Dr. Lewis-Hall remains silent about Black/White health disparities that are even more pronounced with Depo Provera and other dangerous long-term contraceptives. These women are part of a "Depo Proveran" Trojan Horse to create bonds of trust shielding Pfizer and its principal intermediaries to stealthily enter communities of color, while simultaneously co-opting their leaders as partners in the Depo Provera policy/profit system.

A significant reason for this manifestation of self-destruction by people of color emanates from the historical trauma and vestiges of colonialism, slavery, and resulting cultural hegemony embedded in the minds and hearts of millions of Africans, African-Americans, and people of color worldwide. Oppression and exploitation is fertile ground for the cultivation of self-hatred and an inferiority complex that tolerates systemic injustice. For generations beginning with slavery, Africans and people of color have rationalized the sale of their own people to corporate interests for economic security and social status—it is time we reflected and recognized our roles in the economics of modern-day slavery. Prior to 1946, Jews were ruthlessly oppressed and targeted for genocide. Therefore, Jewish communities continuously purge and expose leaders who are considered "self-hating Jews" for views and policies that are harmful and antithetical to the existence and protection of sacred Jewish lives. In Israel, as civil rights leaders, advocates and funders are fighting tooth-and-nail to protect their communities from Depo Provera's lethal harm, some noted African-American leaders seem uninformed, aloof or unconcerned about the carnage that Depo Provera inflicts on our women and girls. Seeking corporate donations to finance politics and persuasively illuminating truth about harm to protect the eternal dignity of life, by ensuring corporate social responsibility, are not mutually exclusive.

From Africa to Latin America, oppressed people of color might appreciate apologies for the past mistakes of Tuskegee¹⁴⁵, Guatemala¹⁴⁶, Trovan¹⁴⁷, and Navrongo¹⁴⁸ experiments. However, they need more than empty words from U.S. Presidents for the unabated fraudulent medical practices of U.S. doctors, birth control providers, and pharmaceutical companies who continue to target and decimate populations of color. As we seek justice for marginalized communities, and in our contributory efforts to aid this global process of repairing and healing the world to protect our sacredness and future existence (a Tikkun Olam principle), the Rebecca Project for Justice will continue "going back" to examine and expose historical harm that continues to devastate our humanity (a Sankofa principle). The Rebecca Project seeks policy change so that women in high HIV/AIDS communities in the U.S. and our developing world are no longer targeted with lethal contraceptives, and are informed about details of harmful side-effects with verifiable informed consent. God protect and guide all of us in this historic global intervention for reproductive justice and rights for women.

¹⁴⁵ Donald H. Rockwell, Anne Roof Yobs, & M. Brittain Moore, Jr., The Tuskegee Study of Untreated Syphilis: 30th Year of Observation (1963).

^{146 &}quot;Ethically Impossible" STD Research in Guatemala from 1946 to 1948: http://bioethics.gov/cms/sites/default/files/Ethically-Impossible_PCSBI.pdf.

¹⁴⁷ Pfizer v. Abdullahi, 562 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2009): http://www.scotusblog.com/wp-content/uploads/2009/09/09-34_pet.pdf.

¹⁴⁸ Cornelius Debpuur, James F. Phillips, Elizabeth F. Jackson, Alex Nazzar, Pierre Ngom, & Fred N. Binka, *The impact of the Navrongo Project on Contraceptive Knowledge and Use, Reproductive Preferences, and Fertility* (Studies in Family Planning, 2002).

APPENDIX

African Union, African-American Clergy, Civil & Human Rights Coalitions fighting Depo Provera's Genocide

Genocide is the act of knowingly causing serious bodily harm to members of a group; deliberately inflicting on a group conditions of life, calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; and imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group 149. A global coalition to fight this institutionalized violence and genocide against women of color and their families include: the African Union (AU), African NGOs, the Anti-Depo Provera Clergy Coalition, Dignity of Women's Health Coalition; and several Human Rights groups together with: Black Autonomy Network Community Organization (BANCO), Center for Renewal and Education (CURE), Pastor Lennox Abrigo, National Action Network-DC Chapter (NAN), Binta Terrier, Partnership League for Africa's Development (PLAD), Priests for Life, and United Africans for Women & Children Rights (UAWCR). Dr. Charlotte Charity Abaka: Member of Women Doctors Association of Ghana, which is a member of the Medical Women's International Association (present); Chairperson of CEDAW (2001 and 2002); Member of the UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women-CEDAW (1991-2002); UN Human Rights Expert on Liberia (2002-2008); and former Chairperson of the National Council on Women and Development (Ghana's national vehicle for the advancement of women).

In May 2010, the Rebecca Project secured detailed information of research fraud concerning Depo Provera and the Navrongo Experiment from a doctor at Johns Hopkins University who had worked with Dr. James Phillips of Columbia University. As part of its due diligence, the Rebecca Project contacted by phone and/or email all significant parties accused of wrongdoing in the *Outsourcing of Tuskegee* report for comments. The report was made available to Keenan Keller, Judiciary Committee Counsel and was reviewed by him and staffers. Report was also reviewed by Congressional staffers on the Africa, Global Health Human Rights committee, and Health committees. The report was also made available to veteran reproductive rights advocates, authors and program officers. The report was sent to public health experts, researchers and reviewers for the UN programs. Finally, the report was read and praised for its veracity by Peter Donaldson, the President of the Population Council. To date no material errors or false statements have been established.

If parties accused of wrong doing in the report were not available to respond, the Rebecca Project contacted their program officers, general counsels or heads of research institutions. The Rebecca Project conducted a thorough investigation for truth, and the allegations of Dr. Phillips' research fraud were sent to the Grameen Foundation, Ford Foundation, and Rockefeller Foundations. In light of the fact that Dr. James Phillips was the principal investigator involved in unethical conduct in the Gates Foundation research study called MoTeCH, which precipitated the information about the seminal Depo Provera Navrongo Experiment, the Rebecca Project made special efforts to contact Mary Taylor (MOTECH program officer--Gates) and Connie Collingsworth (General Counsel--Gates). Documents were also forwarded to the DOJ, USAID, and HHS.

REBECCA PROJECT FOR HUMAN RIGHTS CONTACTS THE GATES FOUNDATION ABOUT DR. PHILLIPS

On July 26, 2010, in order to determine the veracity of reports of unethical experimentation using Depo Provera on women in Africa, the Rebecca Project for Justice's International Policy Director, Kwame Fosu called the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation's (BMGF) General Counsel, Connie Collingsworth. Mr. Fosu had forwarded a document to BMFG Program Officers regarding the activities of Dr. James Philips, a Population Council researcher who also worked for the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, in Navrongo, Ghana. In February 2010, Dr. Phillips was accused of unethical conduct in yet another BMGF project, this time by his Program Manager, Yaa Bosumtwi, MPH, on a project called MoTeCH in the Navrongo/Kassena-Nankana districts—Ms. Bosumtwi is an MPH graduate from Columbia University, NY. When these new allegations went public, it prompted doctors and researchers who had worked with Dr. Phillips to come forward about detailed fraud in a previous Phillips experiment called the Navrongo Experiment 150. After several months of resisting pressure to commit research fraud on behalf of Dr. James F. Phillips and the Gates Foundation, Yaa Bosumtwi was fired for "insubordination" by Dr. Phillips with the tacit approval of funders Columbia University and Gates. Dr. Phillips, described by those who know him professionally as a mild mannered researcher, is referred to as the "Godfather" of reproductive health research in Africa. He remains protected by the Population Council, USAID, Gates and Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health, because they are complicit and knowledgeable of Dr. Phillips' extensive research fraud. His fraud is covered-up to maintain institutional legitimacy and to sustain USAID's discriminatory Depo Provera family planning policy that would be rejected by all moral people if the harm was exposed.

 $^{^{149}}$ Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, (Article 6 (d)) .

¹⁵⁰ Debpuur C, Phillips JF, Jackson EF, Nazzar A, Ngom P, Binka FN. The impact of the Navrongo Project on contraceptive knowledge and use, reproductive preferences, and fertility. Studies in Family Planning (2002).

BILL AND MELINDA GATES FOUNDATION RESPONDS TO REBECCA PROJECT

On August 11, 2010, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation General Counsel, Connie Collingsworth, returned Kwame Fosu's call. Fosu discussed several documented cases of unethical research that were discovered. Ms. Collingsworth listened attentively, and at the close of the conversation, she remarked, "This is a lot of work... it is going to be a difficult task...please let us know how we can help." When BMGF's associate counsel contacted Fosu a week later at Ms. Collingsworth's request, to determine if there were any additional updates, she inquired if any assistance was needed. Fosu shared the need to locate humanitarian benefactors and funders dedicated to forging a coalition of socially conscious funders to end unethical research in Africa. However, the Rebecca Project for Justice's modest request to BMGF for assistance to create an institutional rampart for improved enforcement of ethical research laws to counter unethical scientific research in Africa never materialized. Two years later, with Pfizer, USAID and PATH, BMGF launched a misguided billion-dollar humanitarian contraceptive campaign¹⁵¹ incorporating USAID's established partnership with Becton Dickinson, PATH, and Pfizer, which led to the development of Uniject. Gates and USAID's goal is to use Pfizer's Depo Provera as the optimum contraceptive of choice in Africa. The new Uniject delivery system obviates the use of licensed healthcare professionals. ¹⁵³ By utilizing PATH's "self-injection" system USAID circumvents and violates Pfizer/FDA¹⁵⁴ regulations that require counseling patients about Depo Provera's serious side effects.

The Gates Foundation arrogates to itself a contradictory standard of regulatory oversight that is antithetical to American principles of fair play, justice, and decency. Apart from the billions in EU fraud charges against Gates (discussed on page 14), prior to 2005, Gates had paid approximately \$9 billion in fines in the United States for multiple cases of fraudulent promotion and illegal business practices. In 2000, legendary attorney Willie Gary sued Gates due to gross racial discrimination. Mr. Gary explained that "Gates had a 'plantation mentality' when it comes to treating African American workers". The case was settled for \$97 million. Then, in 2001, renowned attorneys, David Boies and Joel Klein, litigated a landmark case for the DOJ in United States v. Microsoft (253 F.3d 34) trial. Microsoft lost and settled with the DOJ. In declarations, Judge Thomas Penfield Jackson stated the following: by exploiting its monopoly power to try to crush its competitors, Microsoft had illegally used its stranglehold over computer operating systems to intimidate or eliminate its rivals;... and Microsoft proved to be misleading, evasive, and transparently false and a company with an institutional disdain for both the truth and for rules of law that lesser entities must respect. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation continues the Gates legacy and traditional "disdain for both the truth and for rules of law that lesser entities must respect" by their fraudulent promotion of Depo Provera. Their systemic fraud is supported and unrestricted by the governmental imprimatur provided by USAID's Dr. Rajiv Shah, whose partnership and sycophantic fawning over Bill and Melinda Gates, demonstrates a crippling lack of independence and a conscious voluntary disregard, to reasonably inform women of color and low income women of Depo Provera's life-threatening side effects in FDA Black Box warnings.



PFIZER'S DRUG FRAUDULENTLY PROMOTED BY GATES, USAID, POPULATION COUNCIL, etc.

"TUSKEGEE via AFRICA"

¹⁵¹ Chris Wickham, Melinda Gates pledges \$560 million for contraception, Reuters (2011).

¹⁵² PATH News, Innovative partnership to deliver convenient contraceptives to up to three million women (2012).

¹⁵³Holly Grigg-Spall, The Global Implications of Putting Population Control Over HIV Prevention, EDGE BOSTON (2012).

¹⁵⁴Pfizer, Depo Provera Warning and Precautions (2012).